arXiv:math/0204015v1 [math.AG] 1 Apr 2002

ANALYTIC RIGIDITY OF K-TRIVIAL EXTREMAL CONTRACTIONS OF SMOOTH 3-FOLDS

CSILLA TAMÁS

Abstract. We discuss the problem of classifying birational extremal contractions of smooth threefolds where the canonical bundle is trivial along the curves contracted, in the case when a divisor is contracted to a point. We prove the analytic rigidity of the contraction in the case this divisor is normal of degree ≥ 5 (i.e. we show that the analytic structure of the contraction is completely determined by the isomorphism class of the exceptional locus and its normal bundle in X). This was previously known only in the case of smooth exceptional locus.

1. Introduction

In the minimal model program, the study of certain types of birational contractions, called *extremal*, is of central importance. In [23], S. Mori studied and classified birational extremal contractions $\varphi\colon X \longrightarrow Y$ of smooth threefolds X where the canonical bundle of X is *negative* along the fibers of the contraction. His classification in the case the exceptional locus $Exc(\varphi)$ is a divisor includes the following results: When $Exc(\varphi)$ contracts to a curve on Y, $Exc(\varphi)$ is a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle over the base curve and Y is smooth. When $Exc(\varphi)$ contracts to a point $q \in Y$, it is either $\mathbb{P}^2$, $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ or a singular quadric, with specified normal bundle, and X is the blow-up of q on Y; in this case the analytic structure of the neighborhood of $Exc(\varphi)$ is uniquely determined by the isomorphism class of $Exc(\varphi)$ and its normal bundle in X. We call this feature the *analytic rigidity* of the contraction (see Definition 2.2).

In this paper we attempt to give a similar description for birational extremal contractions of smooth 3-folds in the K*-trivial* case, i.e. where the canonical bundle is numerically trivial on all the curves contracted (see §2 for a precise definition). Our main result is

Theorem 1.1 (Main Theorem)**.** *Let X be a smooth projective 3-fold over $\mathbb{C}$ and let $\varphi\colon X \longrightarrow Y$ be a K-trivial birational extremal contraction onto a normal projective variety Y, contracting a divisor $D \subset X$ to a point $q \in Y$. Suppose D is normal, and $(\omega_D^2) \geq 5$. Then the contraction φ is analytically rigid.*

This way we obtain the classification of K-trivial extremal contractions in terms of the exceptional divisor D and its normal bundle $\mathcal{N}_{D/X}$ in X in the case when D is normal with $d = (\omega_D^2) \geq 5$, contracting to a point. As the exceptional locus is a normal rational (possibly singular) del Pezzo surface D of degree $d \geq 5$ with normal bundle isomorphic to ω_D (see §2), we obtain a finite list of possible contractions up to analytic isomorphism.

Although extremal contractions are algebraic objects, establishing algebraic classification would lead to the problem of finding moduli of algebraic objects, which is global in nature, while the classification of extremal contractions should be local in nature. From this point of view, we may regard analytic classification as the best possible result.

As the result of analytic rigidity shows, in order to know the analytic structure of the contraction, it is sufficient to construct one example for each possible exceptional locus. No algebraic examples are known except when D is a nonsingular del Pezzo surface of degree 6 ([24]). In §7 we present an example of an embedding of a singular del Pezzo surface of degree 7 into a smooth projective 3-fold such that the canonical bundle of the ambient space is numerically trivial on D. By Fujiki's contraction theorem, D can be contracted analytically, giving us an analytic K-trivial contraction. Similar constructions can be carried out for each possible exceptional divisor D (any normal rational del Pezzo surface of degree $d \geq 5$).

K-trivial extremal contractions have been studied by M. Gross ([10]) and P.M.H. Wilson ([30]). Their analysis in the case when the exceptional locus D is a divisor contracting to a point includes a description of

---

Date: February 1, 2008.

This paper is part of the author's Ph.D. thesis at Purdue University, written under the guidance of K. Matsuki.

the (possible) exceptional loci, and the description of the analytic structure (and in particular establishing the analytic rigidity) in the case when D is nonsingular with $(\omega_D^2) \geq 5$. The analytic rigidity in the case when D is non-normal or $(\omega_D^2) \leq 4$ is not known. Wilson also describes the possible contractions in the case when the exceptional locus D contracts to a curve. Small K-trivial birational extremal contractions of smooth 3-folds (i.e. when the exceptional locus is a collection of curves) are three-dimesional flopping contactions; these were studied in [19] and [27].

Even in the surface case, the condition of K-negativity or K-triviality is essential for analyitic rigidity. In [20], Laufer gives a complete list of taut surface singularities (i.e. normal 2-dimensional singularities that are completely determined by the weighted dual graph Γ of the exceptional locus E of the minimal resolution). He also lists those singularities which are determined by the weighted dual graph and the analytic structure of E, and states that the singularities obtained by contractions of curves of general type are not determined by Γ and the analytic structure of E.

We outline below the proof of the Main Theorem:

By [17, Th. 3], the proof of analytic rigidity is reduced to showing that any two embeddings of D into smooth complex 3-folds with normal bundles isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_D(K_D)$ are formally equivalent. Suppose now that we have two K-trivial extremal contractions $\varphi\colon X \longrightarrow Y$ and $\varphi'\colon X' \longrightarrow Y'$, with isomorphic exceptional divisors D and D', and such that $\mathcal{N}_{D/X} \simeq \mathcal{N}_{D'/X'}$. To prove the formal equivalence, we first show that if $H^1(D, \mathcal{T}_D \otimes \mathcal{I}_D/\mathcal{I}_D^2) = 0$, then the two embeddings $D \subset X$ and $D' \subset X'$ are 2-equivalent, i.e. we have an isomorphism of the ringed spaces $(D, \mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}_D^2)$ and $(D', \mathcal{O}_{X'}/\mathcal{I}_{D'}^2)$, where $\mathcal{I}_D$ denotes the ideal sheaf of D in X. Then we can obtain a formal equivalence by showing that the obstruction spaces $H^1(D, \mathcal{T}_X \otimes \mathcal{I}^\nu/\mathcal{I}^{\nu+1})$ to extending a ν-equivalence ($\nu \geq 2$) to a $\nu + 1$-equivalence vanish for any $\nu \geq 2$. The vanishing of both $H^1(D, \mathcal{T}_D \otimes \mathcal{I}_D/\mathcal{I}_D^2)$ and $H^1(D, \mathcal{T}_X \otimes \mathcal{I}_D^\nu/\mathcal{I}_D^{\nu+1})$ is reduced to showing $H^1(D, \mathcal{T}_D) = 0$ using properties of del Pezzo surfaces. This last vanishing is then proved using an explicit description of normal rational del Pezzo surfaces.

Remark 1.2. We should note that our results about formal equivalence hold over any algebraically closed field of characteristic 0. However, over an arbitrary field there is no notion of analytic rigidity (and in particular we dont't have [17, Th. 3]). Over an arbitrary field, formal equivalence implies only equivalence in the étale topology ([1, Th. (4.6)]).

Conventions/Notations.

1. We are working over the field of complex numbers $\mathbb{C}$.
2. For an algebraic variety D, we denote by $\mathcal{T}_D$ its tangent sheaf $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_D}(\Omega_D^1, \mathcal{O}_D)$. In case it exists (for example if D is Cohen-Macaulay), we denote the canonical sheaf of D by ω_D. If ω_D is locally free, we denote by K_D the (linear equivalence class of the) canonical divisor of D.
3. For a coherent sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on D, we denote $h^i(D, \mathcal{F}) = \dim H^i(D, \mathcal{F})$.
4. We denote the intersection number of a (Cartier) divisor Δ and a curve C by $(\Delta \cdot C)$.
5. For any variety X, we denote by $\overline{NE}(X)$ the closure of the cone of effective 1-cycles on X.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. K-trivial extremal contractions.

Definition 2.1. Let X be a smooth projective n-fold over $\mathbb{C}$ and $\varphi\colon X \longrightarrow Y$ a birational morphism onto a normal projective variety Y such that the exceptional locus D of φ is of codimension 1 and such that $\dim \varphi(D) = 0$. We call the contraction φ

- **(P1):** extremal if all the curves contracted by φ are numerically proportional, i.e. given two curves C and C' contracted by φ, there is a rational number r such that for any divisor E in X, we have $(E \cdot C') = r(E \cdot C)$.
- **(P2):** K-trivial if the canonical bundle on X is numerically trivial on all curves contracted by φ, i.e. $(K_X \cdot C) = 0$ for any curve C contracted by φ to a point.

Note that the condition (P1) implies that the exceptional locus D is an irreducible divisor (Proposition 2.4), and hence φ contracts D to a point $q \in Y$.

Definition 2.2. The contraction φ is called analytically rigid if its analytic structure is uniquely determined by the isomorphism class of $Exc(\varphi) = D$ and its normal bundle $\mathcal{N}_{D/X}$ in X. More precisely, suppose

$\varphi' \colon X' \longrightarrow Y'$ is another birational map on a smooth projective 3-fold X' with exceptional locus D', contracting D' to a point $q' \in Y'$. If $D \simeq D'$ and we have an isomorphism of normal bundles $\mathcal{N}_{D/X} \simeq \mathcal{N}_{D'/X'}$, then analytic rigidity of φ means that there are open (analytic) neighborhoods U of D in X and U' of D' in X' over which the contractions φ and φ' are analytically isomorphic, i.e. we have the following commutative diagram (in the analytic category):

$$\begin{tikzcd}
D \arrow[d] \arrow[r, phantom, "\subset"] & U \arrow[d] \arrow[r, phantom, "\simeq"] & U' \arrow[d] \arrow[r, phantom, "\supset"] & D' \arrow[d] \\
q \arrow[r, phantom, "\in"] & \varphi(U) \arrow[r, phantom, "\simeq"] & \varphi'(U') \arrow[r, phantom, "\ni"] & q'
\end{tikzcd}$$

Remark 2.3. A priori our definition (P1) of an extremal contraction is different from the one generally found in the literature, namely the "contraction of an extremal ray". However, by Proposition 2.4 below, (P1) and (P2) imply that the birational map φ is the contraction of an extremal ray R with respect to $K_X + \epsilon D$, for any $0 < \epsilon$, where $R := \mathbb{R}_+[C]$ for any curve $C \subset D$.

Proposition 2.4. *Let X be a nonsingular projective variety of dimension n, and let $\varphi \colon X \longrightarrow Y$ be a birational extremal contraction. Let D denote the exceptional locus of φ (with the reduced structure). Suppose that* $\operatorname{codim}_X D = 1$ *and* $\dim \varphi(D) = 0$. *Then D is irreducible and $-D$ is φ-ample. Furthermore, φ is the contraction of an extremal ray of the cone $\overline{NE}(X)$.*

Proof. Suppose that there are two distinct irreducible components D_1 and D_2 of D, with $\operatorname{codim}_X D_1 = 1$. Let $H_1, H_2, \dots H_{n-2} \subset X$ be general hyperplane sections of X. Let $H = \cap_{i=1}^{n-2} H_i$. Then H is a smooth surface and $H \cap D_1$ is an irreducible curve C_1 on D_1. Then

$$(D_1 \cdot C_1)_X = (D_1|_H \cdot C_1)_H = (C_1^2)_H < 0$$

by the negativity of self-intersection of contractible curves. Now, if $C_2 \subset D_2$ is a curve that is not contained in D_1, we have that $(D_1 \cdot C_2) \geq 0$. But this contradicts the fact that φ is extremal, because C_1 and C_2 cannot be numerically proportional. Therefore D is irreducible and for any curve $C \subset D$ we have $(D \cdot C) < 0$.

In order to show that $-D$ is φ-ample, we only need to show that the divisor $-D|_D$ is ample on D ([11, Theorem III.4.7.1.]). Then, by Kleiman's criterion of ampleness, it is enough to show that $(-D \cdot Z) > 0$ for any $Z \in \overline{NE}(D)$.

The curves of D are numerically proportional on X, therefore $Z \equiv rC_1$ on X for some positive rational number r, since $Z \neq 0$ in $\overline{NE}(D)$. Therefore $(-D \cdot Z) = -r(D \cdot C_1) > 0$, and hence $-D$ is relatively ample and the divisor $-D|_D$ is ample on D.

In order to show that φ is a contraction of an extremal ray, let A be an ample divisor on Y. Then, by the contraction theorem of extremal rays ([22]), the face $(\varphi^* A)^\perp$ of 1-cycles intersecting trivially with $\varphi^* A$ in $\overline{NE}(X)$ contains an extremal ray R (i.e. an edge of the cone $\overline{NE}(X)$) and we have a contraction $cont_R \colon X \longrightarrow Y'$ of the extremal ray R.

But any curve C in R is contracted by φ, because $(\varphi^* A \cdot C) = 0$. Therefore C is numerically proportional to the curve C_1 in D, and hence the extremal ray R is generated by C_1. Therefore φ and $cont_R$ contract the same curves. This implies $\varphi = cont_R$. □

Now we consider again our situation: let X be a smooth projective 3-fold and $\varphi \colon X \longrightarrow Y$ a K-trivial birational extremal contraction, contracting a divisor D to a point $q \in Y$.

2.2. **Description of the exceptional divisor D.**

By the adjunction formula,

$$\mathcal{O}_D(-K_D) \simeq \mathcal{O}_X(-(K_X + D))|_D \equiv \mathcal{O}_X(-D)|_D, \tag{2.1}$$

and hence $\mathcal{O}_D(-K_D)$ is ample on D, because $-D$ is φ-ample. Also, D has only Gorenstein singularities, being a (Cartier) divisor on a smooth 3-fold. Therefore D is a so-called *del Pezzo surface* (i.e. Gorenstein with ample anticanonical bundle) of degree $d = (K_D^2)$. Note that we allow the del Pezzo surface D to be singular.

By [10, Theorem 5.2], the possibilities for the exceptional divisor D are further restricted by its degree and singularities; D is either

1. a normal and rational del Pezzo surface of degree $5 \leq d \leq 9$ or
2. a non-normal del Pezzo surface of degree $d = 7$ or

3. a normal del Pezzo surface of degree $d \leq 4$ (rational for $d = 4$).

In order to obtain information about the normal bundle $\mathcal{N}_{D/X}$ of D in X, note that the equivalences (2.1) above also show that $\mathcal{N}_{D/X}$ is numerically equivalent to $\mathcal{O}_D(K_D)$. In fact, we have that $\mathcal{N}_{D/X} \simeq \mathcal{O}_D(K_D)$ (using that the Euler characteristic is a numerical invariant [14, Cor. 09] and $\chi(\mathcal{O}_D) = 1$ for del Pezzo surfaces[16]).

2.3. **The singularity at $q \in Y$.** Because $\varphi\colon X \longrightarrow Y$ is K-trivial and extremal, the singularity $q \in Y$ is a rational Gorenstein singularity (i.e. it is Gorenstein, and $\varphi_* K_X = K_Y$). According to [26], to an isolated rational Gorenstein 3-fold point $q \in Y$ one can attach a natural number $k \geq 0$ such that

1. if $k = 0$ then $q \in Y$ is a cDV point.
2. if $k = 1$ then $q \in Y$ is a hypersurface singularity that is locally of the form $x^2 + y^3 + f(y, z, t) = 0$, where $f = yf_1(z, t) + f_2(z, t)$ and f_1 (respectively f_2) is a sum of of monomials $z^a t^b$ of degree $a + b \geq 4$ (respectively ≥ 6).
3. if $k = 2$ then $q \in Y$ is a hypersurface singularity that is locally of the form $x^2 + f(y, z, t) = 0$, where f is a sum of of monomials of degree ≥ 4.
4. if $k \geq 3$ then $\mathrm{mult}_q Y = k$ and the embedding dimension of $q \in Y$ is $k + 1$. In particular, for $k = 3$, $q \in Y$ is still a hypersurface singularity, and for $k = 4$ it is a complete intersection.

Remark 2.5. [26, Prop. (2.13)] implies that if the exceptional locus of $\varphi\colon X \longrightarrow Y$ is a del Pezzo surface of degree d, then the invariant k is equal to d. We also have that X is the (weighted) blow-up of Y at q ([26, Theorem (2.11)]). In particular, when $d \geq 3$, X is the blow-up of Y at q.

3. Normal rational del Pezzo surfaces of degree ≥ 5

3.1. General information about del Pezzo surfaces.

Definition 3.1. A 2-dimensional (possibly singular) projective variety D is called a del Pezzo surface if it has only Gorenstein singularities, and its anticanonical sheaf ω_D^{-1} is ample. We call the intersection number $d = (\omega_D{}^2)$ the degree of the del Pezzo surface D.

Normal rational del Pezzo surfaces were classified by Hidaka and Watanabe in [16]; non-normal ones by Reid in [28]. In this section we enumerate some facts that will be used subsequently in our proof of analytic rigidity.

Let D be a normal del Pezzo surface of degree d and $\pi\colon \tilde{D} \longrightarrow D$ a minimal resolution of D. Then $\tilde{D}$ is either a cone over an elliptic curve, or $\tilde{D}$ is rational. In the latter case, D is either $\mathbb{P}^2$ $(d = 9)$, $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ $(d = 8)$, a singular quadric in $\mathbb{P}^3$ $(d = 8)$, or its minimal resolution $\tilde{D}$ is the blow-up of $9 - d$ points in almost general position on $\mathbb{P}^2$ ([16]). Note that if $d \geq 3$, then ω_D^{-1} is very ample and its global sections yield an embedding of D into $\mathbb{P}^d$ as a subvariety of degree d. This embedding defines a projectively normal variety and is defined by quadric equations except for the case $d = 3$ ([16, Theorem 4.4]).

Proposition 3.2. [16, Prop. 4.2] *Let D be a normal del Pezzo surface. Then*

1. *The anticanonical system $|-K_D|$ of D contains a nonsingular elliptic curve.*
2. $H^1(D, \mathcal{O}_D(\nu K_D)) = 0$ *for all* $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}$.
3. *If* deg$D$= d, *then*

$$\dim H^0(D, \mathcal{O}_D(-\nu K_D)) = \begin{cases} \frac{(\nu+1)\nu}{2} d + 1 & \text{if } \nu \geq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } \nu < 0. \end{cases}$$

3.2. **Singularities of normal rational del Pezzo surfaces of degree ≥ 5.** In the following, we present a complete description of the configurations of points the blow-up of which gives the minimal resolution of a normal rational del Pezzo surface of degree $d \geq 5$.

Notation. For convenience, we introduce the following notations/definitions ([4]): Let $\Sigma = \{p_1, \ldots, p_r\}$ be a finite set of points on $\mathbb{P}^2$ (infinitely near points allowed) and assume that $|\Sigma| \leq 8$. Denote by Σ_i the subsystem $\{p_1, \ldots, p_i\}$, $1 \leq i \leq r$, and let $V(\Sigma_i) \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ be the blow-up of $\mathbb{P}^2$ with center Σ_i. Then there exists a sequence of blow-ups

$$V(\Sigma) = V(\Sigma_n) \longrightarrow V(\Sigma_{n-1}) \longrightarrow \ldots \longrightarrow V(\Sigma_1) \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2.$$

Denote by $E_i \subset V(\Sigma_i)$ the exceptional locus of the morphism $V(\Sigma_i) \longrightarrow V(\Sigma_{i-1})$.

Definition 3.3. [4, III.2] The points of Σ are in general (respectively almost general) position if

1. No three (resp. four) of them are collinear.
2. No six (resp. seven) of them are on a conic.
3. All the points are distinct (resp. for all $1 \leq i \leq r$, the point $p_{i+1} \in V(\Sigma_i)$ does not lie on any proper transform $\hat{E}_j$ of some E_j, $1 \leq j \leq i$, with $(\hat{E}_j^2) = -2$).
4. When $|\Sigma| = 8$, there exists no singular cubic which passes through all the points of Σ and has one of them as the singular point (no corresponding condition in the almost general case).

Let D be a normal rational del Pezzo surface of degree $d \geq 5$ and let $\pi\colon \tilde{D} \longrightarrow D$ be its minimal resolution. Suppose D is not $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ or a singular quadric in $\mathbb{P}^3$. Then $\tilde{D} \simeq V(\Sigma)$ for some set Σ of $9 - d \leq 4$ points in almost general position on $\mathbb{P}^2$.

Up to a projective automorphism of $\mathbb{P}^2$ (and its extensions to the blow-up spaces), there are 22 different configurations of at most 4 points in almost general position (including $\Sigma = \emptyset$, when $D \simeq \mathbb{P}^2$). Below we describe these configurations (giving a representative for each configuration) and the corresponding blow-ups.

Fix the homogeneous coordinates $[x_0\colon x_1\colon x_2]$ on $\mathbb{P}^2$. Then on the affine open $U_0 = \{x_0 \neq 0\} \simeq \mathbb{A}^2$ we have local coordinates $x := \frac{x_1}{x_0}$ and $y := \frac{x_2}{x_0}$. In the following, all blow-ups will be of (possibly infinitely near) points of $U_0 \subset \mathbb{P}^2$. For any point $p \in U_0$, we have the natural coordinates on the exceptional locus $\mathcal{E}$ of the blow-up $\operatorname{Bl}_p U_0$, using $\mathcal{E} \simeq \mathbb{P}(T_pU_0) \simeq \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{A}^2) \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$. For a point $q \in \operatorname{Bl}_p U_0$ we write $q \longrightarrow p$ if q is infinitely near to p, i.e. if $q \in \mathcal{E}$.

3.2.1. *Blowing up one point.*

3.2.1.1. Let $\Sigma_1 = \{p_1\}$, where $p_1 \in \mathbb{P}^2$ and let $\sigma_1\colon S_1 = V(\Sigma_1) \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ be the blow-up of p_1 on $\mathbb{P}^2$. Up to a projective automorphism of $\mathbb{P}^2$, we can assume $p_1 = [1 : 0 : 0]$.

3.2.2. *Blowing up two points.*

3.2.2.1. Let $\Sigma_2 = \Sigma_1 \cup \{p_2\}$, where $p_2 \in \mathbb{P}^2$ and $p_2 \neq p_1$. Let $\sigma_2\colon S_2 = V(\Sigma_2) \longrightarrow S_1$ be the blow-up of p_2 on S_1. Up to a projective automorphism of $\mathbb{P}^2$, we can assume $p_1 = [1 : 0 : 0]$ and $p_2 = [1 : 0 : 1]$.

3.2.2.2. Let $\Sigma_7 = \Sigma_1 \cup \{p_2\}$, where $p_2 \longrightarrow p_1$. Let $\sigma_7\colon S_7 = V(\Sigma_7) \longrightarrow S_1$ be the blow-up of p_2 on S_1. Up to a projective automorphism of $\mathbb{P}^2$ (and its lifting to a projective automorphism of $V(\Sigma_1)$), we can assume $p_1 = [1 : 0 : 0]$ and $p_2 = [1 : 0] \in \mathbb{P}(T_{p_1}\mathbb{P}^2) \subset V(\Sigma_1)$.

3.2.3. *Blowing up three points.*

Case. The three points are on $\mathbb{P}^2$

3.2.3.1. Let $\Sigma_3 = \Sigma_2 \cup \{p_3\}$, where $p_3 \in \mathbb{P}^2$ and p_1, p_2, p_3 are not collinear. Let $\sigma_3\colon S_3 = V(\Sigma_3) \longrightarrow S_2$ be the blow-up of p_3 on S_2. We can assume $p_1 = [1 : 0 : 0]$, $p_2 = [1 : 0 : 1]$ and $p_3 = [1 : 1 : 0]$.

3.2.3.2. Let $\Sigma_4 = \Sigma_2 \cup \{p_3\}$, where $p_3 \in \mathbb{P}^2$ and p_1, p_2, p_3 are collinear. Let $\sigma_4\colon S_4 = V(\Sigma_4) \longrightarrow S_2$ be the blow-up of p_3 on S_2. We can assume $p_1 = [1 : 0 : 0]$, $p_2 = [1 : 0 : 1]$ and $p_3 = [1 : 0 : -1]$.

Case. Two of the three points are on $\mathbb{P}^2$

3.2.3.3. Let $\Sigma_5 = \Sigma_2 \cup \{p_3\}$, where $p_3 \longrightarrow p_1$ and such that p_1, p_2, p_3 are not collinear. Let $\sigma_5\colon S_5 = V(\Sigma_5) \longrightarrow S_2$ be the blow-up of p_3 on S_2. We can assume $p_1 = [1 : 0 : 0]$, $p_2 = [1 : 0 : 1]$ and $p_3 = [1 : 0] \in \mathbb{P}(T_{p_1}\mathbb{P}^2) \subset V(\Sigma_2)$.

3.2.3.4. Let $\Sigma_6 = \Sigma_2 \cup \{p_3\}$, where $p_3 \longrightarrow p_1$, such that p_1, p_2, p_3 are collinear. Let $\sigma_6\colon S_6 = V(\Sigma_6) \longrightarrow S_2$ be the blow-up of p_3 on S_2. We can assume $p_1 = [1 : 0 : 0]$, $p_2 = [1 : 0 : 1]$ and $p_3 = [0 : 1] \in \mathbb{P}(T_{p_1}\mathbb{P}^2) \subset V(\Sigma_2)$.

Case. Only one of the three points is on $\mathbb{P}^2$

3.2.3.5. Let $\Sigma_8 = \Sigma_7 \cup \{p_3\}$, where $p_3 \longrightarrow p_2 \longrightarrow p_1$, and p_1, p_2, p_3 are not collinear. Let $\sigma_8\colon S_8 = V(\Sigma_8) \longrightarrow S_7$ be the blow-up of p_3 on S_7. We can assume $p_1 = [1 : 0 : 0]$, $p_2 = [1 : 0] \in \mathbb{P}(T_{p_1}\mathbb{P}^2) \subset V(\Sigma_1)$ and $p_3 = [1 : 1] \in \mathbb{P}(T_{p_2}V(\Sigma_1)) \subset V(\Sigma_7)$.

3.2.3.6. Let $\Sigma_9 = \Sigma_7 \cup \{p_3\}$, where $p_3 \longrightarrow p_2 \longrightarrow p_1$ and p_1, p_2, p_3 are collinear. Let $\sigma_9\colon S_9 = V(\Sigma_9) \longrightarrow S_7$ be the blow-up of p_3 on S_7. We can assume $p_1 = [1 : 0 : 0]$, $p_2 = [1 : 0] \in \mathbb{P}(T_{p_1}\mathbb{P}^2) \subset V(\Sigma_1)$ and $p_3 = [1 : 0] \in \mathbb{P}(T_{p_2}V(\Sigma_1)) \subset V(\Sigma_7)$.

3.2.4. *Blowing up four points.*

Case. All four points are on $\mathbb{P}^2$

3.2.4.1. Let $\Sigma_3' = \Sigma_3 \cup \{p_4\}$, where $p_4 \in \mathbb{P}^2$, with no three points collinear. Let $\sigma_3' \colon S_3' = V(\Sigma_3') \longrightarrow S_3$ be the blow-up of p_4 on S_3. We can assume $p_1 = [1:0:0]$, $p_2 = [1:0:1]$, $p_3 = [1:1:0]$ and $p_4 = [1:1:-1]$.

3.2.4.2. Let $\Sigma_4' = \Sigma_4 \cup \{p_4\}$, where $p_4 \in \mathbb{P}^2$, with p_1, p_2 and p_3 collinear. Let $\sigma_4' \colon S_4' = V(\Sigma_4') \longrightarrow S_4$ be the blow-up of p_4 on S_4. We can assume $p_1 = [1:0:0]$, $p_2 = [1:0:1]$, $p_3 = [1:0:-1]$ and $p_4 = [1:1:0]$.

Case. Three of the points are on $\mathbb{P}^2$

3.2.4.3. Let $\Sigma_3'' = \Sigma_3 \cup \{p_4\}$, where $p_4 \longrightarrow p_1$ and such that no three points are collinear. Let $\sigma_3'' \colon S_3'' = V(\Sigma_3'') \longrightarrow S_3$ be the blow-up of p_4 on S_3. We can assume $p_1 = [1:0:0]$, $p_2 = [1:0:1]$, $p_3 = [1:1:0]$ and $p_4 = [1:0] \in \mathbb{P}(T_{p_1}\mathbb{P}^2) \subset V(\Sigma_3)$.

3.2.4.4. Let $\Sigma_3''' = \Sigma_3 \cup \{p_4\}$, where $p_4 \longrightarrow p_1$ and p_1, p_2, p_4 are collinear. Let $\sigma_3''' \colon S_3''' = V(\Sigma_3''') \longrightarrow S_3$ be the blow-up of p_4 on S_3. We can assume $p_1 = [1:0:0]$, $p_2 = [1:0:1]$, $p_3 = [1:1:0]$ and $p_4 = [0:1] \in \mathbb{P}(T_{p_1}\mathbb{P}^2) \subset V(\Sigma_3)$.

3.2.4.5. Let $\Sigma_4'' = \Sigma_4 \cup \{p_4\}$, where $p_4 \longrightarrow p_1$ and is not collinear with p_1, p_2, p_3. Let $\sigma_4'' \colon S_4'' = V(\Sigma_4'') \longrightarrow S_4$ be the blow-up of p_4 on S_4. We can assume $p_1 = [1:0:0]$, $p_2 = [1:0:1]$, $p_3 = [1:0:-1]$ and $p_4 = [1:0] \in \mathbb{P}(T_{p_1}\mathbb{P}^2) \subset V(\Sigma_4)$.

Case. Two of the points are on $\mathbb{P}^2$

3.2.4.6. Let $\Sigma_5' = \Sigma_5 \cup \{p_4\}$, where $p_4 \longrightarrow p_2$ and such that no three points are collinear. Let $\sigma_5' \colon S_5' = V(\Sigma_5') \longrightarrow S_5$ be the blow-up of p_4 on S_5. We can assume $p_1 = [1:0:0]$, $p_2 = [1:0:1]$, $p_3 = [1:0] \in \mathbb{P}(T_{p_1}\mathbb{P}^2) \subset V(\Sigma_2)$ and $p_4 = [1:0] \in \mathbb{P}(T_{p_2}\mathbb{P}^2) \subset V(\Sigma_5)$.

3.2.4.7. Let $\Sigma_5'' = \Sigma_5 \cup \{p_4\}$, where $p_4 \longrightarrow p_3 \longrightarrow p_1$ and such that no three points are collinear. Let $\sigma_5'' \colon S_5'' = V(\Sigma_5'') \longrightarrow S_5$ be the blow-up of p_4 on S_5. We can assume $p_1 = [1:0:0]$, $p_2 = [1:0:1]$, $p_3 = [1:0] \in \mathbb{P}(T_{p_1}\mathbb{P}^2) \subset V(\Sigma_2)$ and $p_4 = [1:1] \in \mathbb{P}(T_{p_3}(V(\Sigma_2)) \subset V(\Sigma_5)$.

3.2.4.8. Let $\Sigma_5''' = \Sigma_5 \cup \{p_4\}$, where $p_4 \longrightarrow p_3 \longrightarrow p_1$ and p_1, p_3, p_4 are collinear. Let $\sigma_5''' \colon S_5''' = V(\Sigma_5''') \longrightarrow S_5$ be the blow-up of p_4 on S_5. We can assume $p_1 = [1:0:0]$, $p_2 = [1:0:1]$, $p_3 = [1:0] \in \mathbb{P}(T_{p_1}\mathbb{P}^2) \subset V(\Sigma_2)$ and $p_4 = [1:0] \in \mathbb{P}(T_{p_3}(V(\Sigma_2)) \subset V(\Sigma_5)$.

3.2.4.9. Let $\Sigma_6' = \Sigma_6 \cup \{p_4\}$, where $p_4 \longrightarrow p_2$. Let $\sigma_6' \colon S_6' = V(\Sigma_6') \longrightarrow S_6$ be the blow-up of p_4 on S_6. We can assume $p_1 = [1:0:0]$, $p_2 = [1:0:1]$, $p_3 = [0:1] \in \mathbb{P}(T_{p_1}\mathbb{P}^2) \subset V(\Sigma_2)$ and $p_4 = [1:0] \in \mathbb{P}(T_{p_2}\mathbb{P}^2) \subset V(\Sigma_6)$.

3.2.4.10. Let $\Sigma_6'' = \Sigma_6 \cup \{p_4\}$, where $p_4 \longrightarrow p_3 \longrightarrow p_1$ and p_1, p_3, p_4 are not collinear. Let $\sigma_6'' \colon S_6'' = V(\Sigma_6'') \longrightarrow S_6$ be the blow-up of p_4 on S_6. We can assume $p_1 = [1:0:0]$, $p_2 = [1:0:1]$, $p_3 = [0:1] \in \mathbb{P}(T_{p_1}\mathbb{P}^2) \subset V(\Sigma_2)$ and $p_4 = [1:1] \in \mathbb{P}(T_{p_3}(V(\Sigma_2)) \subset V(\Sigma_6)$.

Case. One point is on $\mathbb{P}^2$

3.2.4.11. Let $\Sigma_8' = \Sigma_8 \cup \{p_4\}$, where $p_4 \longrightarrow p_3 \longrightarrow p_2 \longrightarrow p_1$, and such that no three points are collinear. Let $\sigma_8' \colon S_8' = V(\Sigma_8') \longrightarrow S_8$ be the blow-up of p_4 on S_8. We can assume $p_1 = [1:0:0]$, $p_2 = [1:0] \in \mathbb{P}(T_{p_1}\mathbb{P}^2) \subset V(\Sigma_1)$, $p_3 = [1:1] \in \mathbb{P}(T_{p_2}V(\Sigma_1)) \subset V(\Sigma_7)$ and $p_4 = [1:0] \in \mathbb{P}(T_{p_3}(V(\Sigma_7)) \subset V(\Sigma_8)$.

3.2.4.12. Let $\Sigma_9' = \Sigma_9 \cup \{p_4\}$, where $p_4 \longrightarrow p_3 \longrightarrow p_2 \longrightarrow p_1$, such that p_1, p_2, p_3 are collinear. Let $\sigma_9' \colon S_9' = V(\Sigma_9') \longrightarrow S_9$ be the blow-up of p_4 on S_9. We can assume $p_1 = [1:0:0]$, $p_2 = [1:0] \in \mathbb{P}(T_{p_1}\mathbb{P}^2) \subset V(\Sigma_1)$, $p_3 = [1:1] \in \mathbb{P}(T_{p_2}V(\Sigma_1)) \subset V(\Sigma_7)$ and $p_4 = [1:0] \in \mathbb{P}(T_{p_3}(V(\Sigma_7)) \subset V(\Sigma_9)$.

Remark 3.4. Observe that, given a set of points Σ in almost general position on $\mathbb{P}^2$, with $|\Sigma| \leq 4$, there exists a projective automorphism of $\mathbb{P}^2$ that transforms Σ into one of the point-sets listed above (Σ_i, Σ_i', Σ_i''), say $\widetilde{\Sigma}$. We say that Σ and $\widetilde{\Sigma}$ have the same configuration, and we have $V(\Sigma) \simeq V(\widetilde{\Sigma})$.

Corollary 3.5. *A normal rational del Pezzo surface D of degree $d \geq 5$ is either $\mathbb{P}^2$, $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ or a singular quadric in $\mathbb{P}^3$, or it can have the following singularities:*

1. *No singularities if $\tilde{D}$ is S_1, S_2, S_3 or S_3'.*
2. *One A_1-singularity in the case $\tilde{D}$ is S_4, S_5, S_7, S_3'' or S_4'.*
3. *Two A_1-singularities in the case $\tilde{D}$ is S_6, S_5' or S_3'''.*
4. *One A_2-singularity in the case $\tilde{D}$ is S_4'', S_8 or S_5''.*
5. *One A_1- and one A_2-singularity in the case $\tilde{D}$ is S_6', S_9 or S_5'''.*
6. *One A_3-singularity in the case $\tilde{D}$ is S_6'' or S_8'.*
7. *One A_4-singularity in the case $\tilde{D}$ is S_9'.*

4. Analytic rigidity, formal equivalence and infinitesimal extensions

4.1. **Analytic rigidity and formal equivalence.** A standard tool for showing analytic equivalence is a criterion due to Grauert [8] and Hironaka-Rossi [17] that reduces the problem of showing analytic equivalence of embeddings to that of showing formal equivalence ([17, Theorem 3]). We also use the following lemma (see [17, Lemma 9]):

Lemma 4.1. *Let X be a nonsingular complex manifold of dimension n and let D be a reduced complex subspace with ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}$ (i.e. $\sqrt{\mathcal{I}} = \mathcal{I}$). Suppose that there exists an integer $\nu_0 \geq 2$ such that $H^1(D, \mathcal{T}_X \otimes \mathcal{I}^\nu/\mathcal{I}^{\nu+1}) = 0$ for any $\nu \geq \nu_0$. Then a ν-equivalence ($\nu \geq \nu_0$) of D with a complex subspace D' of a complex space X', where X' has the same dimension as X at any point of D', extends to a formal equivalence.*

By $\underline{\nu\text{-equivalence}}$ we mean an isomorphism of complex spaces $(D, \mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}_D^\nu) \simeq (D', \mathcal{O}_{X'}/\mathcal{I}_{D'}^\nu)$, where $\mathcal{I}_D$, respectively $\mathcal{I}_{D'}$ is the ideal sheaf of D in X, respectively of D' in X'. $\underline{\text{Formal equivalence}}$ means an isomorphism of the completions:

$$\hat{X} = \varprojlim (D, \mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}_D^\nu) \simeq \hat{X}' = \varprojlim (D', \mathcal{O}_{X'}/{\mathcal{I}_{D'}}^\nu).$$

Remark 4.2. We extracted the condition $H^1(D, \mathcal{T}_X \otimes \mathcal{I}^\nu/\mathcal{I}^{\nu+1}) = 0$, $\forall \nu \geq \nu_0$ from the proof of [17, Lemma 9] (for any ν, the obstruction to extending a ν-equivalence to a $(\nu+1)$-equivalence lies in the above cohomology group). In the original version D was assumed to be the exceptional locus of the blowing up of a point of a complex space. While in our case the del Pezzo surface D is the exceptional locus of the blowing up of q on Y, we want to use this criterion with $\nu_0 = 2$, and hence we need to have a better control on ν_0 than the proof of [17, Lemma 9] provides. The cohomological condition of the vanishing of $H^1(D, \mathcal{T}_X \otimes \mathcal{I}^\nu/\mathcal{I}^{\nu+1})$ gives us this control.

In order to use Lemma 4.1, we need to establish a criterion of when two different embeddings of a 2-dimensional variety D into smooth three-folds are 2-equivalent. In the following we give a criterion for 2-equivalence using the theory of infinitesimal extensions of $\mathcal{O}_D$. While there is a general theory of infinitesimal extensions of schemes, there seems to be no appropriate reference for our purpose. Therefore in §4.2 we give a self-contained presentation in the case of infinitesimal extensions of a 2-dimensional variety with isolated hypersurface singularities that can be embedded into a smooth 3-fold.

4.2. **2-structures on D.** Let D be a projective 2-dimensional variety over an algebraically closed field k. (We will later use the results of this section with $k = \mathbb{C}$ in establishing analytic rigidity.) Suppose D has only isolated hypersurface-singularities. We assume D can be embedded into a smooth projective 3-fold.

Fix such an embedding $D \subset X$ and let $\mathcal{I}_D$ denote the ideal sheaf of D in X. The conormal bundle of D in X is $\mathcal{I}_D/\mathcal{I}_D^2$. Then we have the following exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_D/\mathcal{I}_D^2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}_D^2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_D \longrightarrow 0.$$

Therefore $2D = (D, \mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}_D^2)$ is an *infinitesimal extension* of D by the sheaf $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{I}_D/\mathcal{I}_D^2$ (i.e. $\mathcal{L}$ can be considered as an ideal sheaf with square 0 on the scheme $2D$, with $\mathcal{O}_{2D}/\mathcal{L} \simeq \mathcal{O}_D$ [15, Ex. II.8.7]).

In the following sections we describe the infinitesimal extensions of D by $\mathcal{I}_D/\mathcal{I}_D^2$, and give a criterion to establish when two embeddings of D into smooth projective 3-folds, with isomorphic normal bundles, are 2-equivalent.

4.2.1. *Local computations.* Let $U = \operatorname{Spec} A$ be an affine open in X, and suppose $D \cap U$ is given by the ideal I. Denote $B = A/I$. Then we have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow I/I^2 \longrightarrow A/I^2 \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow 0$$

which gives an infinitesimal extension of the k-algebra B by the B-module I/I^2.

Let us review some facts about infinitesimal extensions of k-algebras, based on [12, Sect. 18] (here k is an arbitrary field).

Definition 4.3. [12] Let B be a k-algebra. An $\underline{\text{infinitesimal extension of the } k\text{-algebra } B \text{ by a } B\text{-module } L}$ is a k-algebra E such that L can be embedded in E as an ideal whose square is 0 and such that $E/L \simeq B$.

Definition 4.4. Two infinitesimal extensions E and E' of B by L are said to be isomorphic if there is a k-algebra homomorphism $E \longrightarrow E'$ which makes the following diagram commutative:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & L \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & E \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & B \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & L \arrow[r] & E' \arrow[r] & B \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

In the spirit of [12], we have the following construction:

Definition 4.5. Let $0 \longrightarrow L \xrightarrow{\alpha} E \xrightarrow{\beta} B \longrightarrow 0$ be an infinitesimal extension of B by L and let L' be another B-module. Suppose we have a B-module homomorphism $\varphi\colon L \longrightarrow L'$. We define the push-out $E \oplus_\varphi L'$ of E and L' under φ by $E \oplus_\varphi L' = \operatorname{coker}((\beta, -\varphi)\colon L \longrightarrow E \oplus L') = (E \oplus L')/\{(\beta(l), -\varphi(l)) : l \in L\}$. The ring structure on $E \oplus_\varphi L'$ is given by $(e, l') \cdot (e', l'') = (ee', el'' + e'l')$. Then $0 \longrightarrow L \xrightarrow{\alpha_\varphi} E \oplus_\varphi L' \xrightarrow{\beta_\varphi} B \longrightarrow 0$ is an infinitesimal extension of B by L' and we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & L \arrow[r, "\alpha"] \arrow[d, "\varphi"'] & E \arrow[r, "\beta"] \arrow[d, "g_\varphi"'] & B \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & L' \arrow[r, "\alpha_\varphi"'] & E \oplus_\varphi L' \arrow[r, "\beta_\varphi"'] & B \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $\alpha_\varphi(f) = (0, f)$, $\beta_\varphi(a, f) = \beta(a)$ and $g_\varphi(a) = (a, 0)$.

Let $\operatorname{Exalcom}_k(B, L)$ denote the set of isomorphism classes of infinitesimal extensions of B by L . Then it has a k-module structure ([12]); in particular, given an infinitesimal extension $\mathcal{E}$ and a constant $\lambda \in k$, the multiplication $\lambda\mathcal{E}$ is defined by the infinitesimal extension $0 \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow E \oplus_\lambda L \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow 0$, where $E \oplus_\lambda L = (E \oplus L)/\{(l, -\lambda l) : l \in L\}$. Note that multiplication by $0 \in k$ gives the trivial infinitesimal extension $B \oplus L$.

Lemma 4.6. *Let D be a projective 2-dimensional variety over an algebraically closed field k. Suppose D can be embedded into a smooth projective 3-fold X. Let $U = \operatorname{Spec} A$ be an affine open in X, and suppose $D \cap U = \operatorname{Spec} B$, where $B = A/I$. Then for any B-module L, there is an isomorphism*

$$\operatorname{Exalcom}_k(B, L) \simeq \operatorname{Ext}^1_B(\Omega_{B/k}, L).$$

Proof. By [21, Theorem 4.2.2], $\operatorname{Exalcom}_k(B, L) \simeq T^1(B/k, L)$, where T^1 is the first cotangent functor. Also, [21, Lemma 3.1.1] implies that if P is a polynomial ring over k with $B \simeq P/J$ (J an ideal of P), then we have the isomorphism

$$T^1(B/k, L) = \operatorname{coker}(\operatorname{Hom}_P(\Omega_{P/k}, L) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(J/J^2, L)).$$

However, D is reduced and it is a Cartier divisor in the nonsingular 3-fold X, and hence $\operatorname{Spec} B = D \cap U$ is a reduced local complete intersection in $U = \operatorname{Spec} A$. The notion of $\operatorname{Spec} B$ being a local complete intersection is independent of the nonsingular variety containing it, hence $\operatorname{Spec} B$ is also a reduced local complete intersection in $\operatorname{Spec} P$. Therefore, by [5, Ex. 16.17] we obtain that the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow J/J^2 \longrightarrow \Omega_{P/k} \otimes_P B \longrightarrow \Omega_{B/k} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{4.1}$$

is exact, and so $\operatorname{coker}(\operatorname{Hom}_P(\Omega_{P/k}, L) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(J/J^2, L)) \simeq \operatorname{Ext}^1_B(\Omega_{B/k}, L)$. □

In our case (of infinitesimal extensions of $\mathcal{O}_D$ by $\mathcal{I}_D/\mathcal{I}_D^2$), $L = I/I^2$. In the following discussions we use that $\operatorname{Exalcom}_k(B, I/I^2) \simeq \operatorname{Ext}^1_B(\Omega_{B/k}, I/I^2)$.

Remark 4.7. If the open set U does not contain any singular points of D, then $\operatorname{Ext}^1_B(\Omega_{B/k}, I/I^2) = 0$, and therefore $\operatorname{Exalcom}_k(B, I/I^2)$ only contains the trivial infinitesimal extension $B \oplus I/I^2 \simeq A/I^2$.

4.2.2. *General discussion about infinitesimal extensions of B by I/I^2.* We keep the notations from §4.2.1. As D is a local complete intersection in the smooth 3-fold X, we have the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow I/I^2 \longrightarrow \Omega_{A/k} \otimes_A B \longrightarrow \Omega_{B/k} \longrightarrow 0. \tag{4.2}$$

From here, we obtain the long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_B(\Omega_{B/k}, I/I^2) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_B(\Omega_{A/k} \otimes_A B, I/I^2) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_B(I/I^2, I/I^2) \longrightarrow \\ \operatorname{Ext}^1_B(\Omega_{B/k}, I/I^2) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^1_B(\Omega_{A/k} \otimes_A B, I/I^2) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where the last term vanishes because $\Omega_{A/k}$ is locally free. Therefore we obtain that $\mathrm{Exalcom}_k(B, I/I^2) \simeq \mathrm{Ext}^1_B(\Omega_{B/k}, I/I^2)$ is a homomorphic image of $\mathrm{Hom}_B(I/I^2, I/I^2)$. The correspondence goes as follows: let $\varphi \in \mathrm{Hom}_B(I/I^2, I/I^2)$ and consider the standard exact sequence

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & I/I^2 \arrow[r, "\alpha"] & A/I^2 \arrow[r, "\beta"] & B \arrow[r] & 0 . \end{tikzcd}$$

Then the infinitesimal extension corresponding to φ is given by

$$\mathcal{A}_\varphi : 0 \longrightarrow I/I^2 \longrightarrow A_\varphi \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $A_\varphi = A/I^2 \oplus_\varphi I/I^2$. We obtain the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathcal{A}_0 : & 0 \arrow[r] & I/I^2 \arrow[r, "\alpha"] \arrow[d, "\varphi"'] & A/I^2 \arrow[r, "\beta"] \arrow[d, "g_\varphi"'] & B \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & 0 \\ \mathcal{A}_\varphi : & 0 \arrow[r] & I/I^2 \arrow[r, "\alpha_\varphi"'] & A_\varphi \arrow[r, "\beta_\varphi"'] & B \arrow[r] & 0 \end{tikzcd} \tag{4.3}$$

where $\alpha_\varphi(f) = (0, f)$, $\beta_\varphi(a, f) = \beta(a)$ and $g_\varphi(a) = (a, 0)$.

Lemma 4.8. *Two extensions $\mathcal{A}_\varphi$ and $\mathcal{A}_{\varphi'}$ are isomorphic if and only if φ and φ' differ by a k-derivation of A/I^2 into I/I^2.*

Proof. If $\psi \in \mathrm{Der}_k(A/I^2, I/I^2) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_B(\Omega_{A/k} \otimes_A B, I/I^2)$ and $\varphi' = \varphi + \psi \circ \alpha$, then we have a morphism (and hence isomorphism) of extensions

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & I/I^2 \arrow[r, "\alpha_\varphi"] \arrow[d, equal] & A_\varphi \arrow[r, "\beta_\varphi"] \arrow[d, "\Psi"'] & B \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & 0 \\ 0 \arrow[r] & I/I^2 \arrow[r, "\alpha_{\varphi'}"'] & A_{\varphi'} \arrow[r, "\beta_{\varphi'}"'] & B \arrow[r] & 0 \end{tikzcd}$$

where $\Psi(a, f) = (a, f + \psi(a))$.

Conversely, if we have a morphism Ψ that makes the above diagram commutative, then $\Psi(a, 0) - (a, 0) = (0, \psi(a))$ because $\beta_{\varphi'} \circ \Psi = \beta_\varphi$ and $\Psi \circ \alpha_\varphi = \alpha_{\varphi'}$, where $\psi \colon A/I^2 \longrightarrow I/I^2$. The multiplication rule on $A_{\varphi'}$ and the fact that Ψ is a ring homomorphism implies then that $\psi \in \mathrm{Der}_k(A/I^2, I/I^2)$. It follows then that $\Psi(a, f) = (a, f + \psi(a))$. ☐

Remark 4.9. Note that $\mathcal{A}_0$ is the trivial (split) extension and $\mathcal{A}_1$ is the "standard" conormal extension $0 \longrightarrow I/I^2 \xrightarrow{\alpha} A/I^2 \xrightarrow{\beta} B \longrightarrow 0$. Also, given any infinitesimal extension $\mathcal{E} : 0 \longrightarrow I/I^2 \xrightarrow{u} E \xrightarrow{v} B \longrightarrow 0$ of B by I/I^2, there exists a $\varphi \in \mathrm{Hom}_B(I/I^2, I/I^2)$ such that the extensions $\mathcal{A}_\varphi$ and $\mathcal{E}$ are isomorphic. (This follows from the fact that $\mathrm{Exalcom}_k(B, I/I^2)$ is the homomorphic image of $\mathrm{Hom}_B(I/I^2, I/I^2)$.)

Remark 4.10. Observe that φ is isomorphism if and only if g_φ is, and in that case $\mathcal{A}_\varphi$ gives rise to an extension $\varphi^*\mathcal{A}_\varphi : 0 \longrightarrow I/I^2 \xrightarrow{\alpha_\varphi \circ \varphi} A_\varphi \xrightarrow{\beta_\varphi} B \longrightarrow 0$ isomorphic to $\mathcal{A}_1$ via

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & I/I^2 \arrow[r, "\alpha"] \arrow[d, equal] & A/I^2 \arrow[r, "\beta"] \arrow[d, "g_\varphi"] & B \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & 0 \\ 0 \arrow[r] & I/I^2 \arrow[r, "\alpha_\varphi \circ \varphi"'] & A_\varphi \arrow[r, "\beta_\varphi"'] & B \arrow[r] & 0. \end{tikzcd}$$

Lemma 4.11. *Suppose we have two isomorphisms of extensions*

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & I/I^2 \arrow[r, "\alpha"] \arrow[d, equal] & A/I^2 \arrow[r, "\beta"] \arrow[d, shift right, "g"'] \arrow[d, shift left, "g'"] & B \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & 0 \\ 0 \arrow[r] & I/I^2 \arrow[r, "u"] & E \arrow[r, "v"] & B \arrow[r] & 0. \end{tikzcd}$$

Then g and g' differ by a derivation of B into I/I^2. More precisely, there exists a $\psi \in \mathrm{Der}_k(B, I/I^2)$ such that $g = g' + u \circ \psi \circ \beta$.

Proof. Let $\Phi := g^{-1} \circ g'$. Then we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & I/I^2 \arrow[r, "\alpha"] \arrow[d, equal] & A/I^2 \arrow[r, "\beta"] \arrow[d, "\Phi"'] & B \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & I/I^2 \arrow[r, "\alpha"] & A/I^2 \arrow[r, "\beta"] & B \arrow[r] & 0.
\end{tikzcd}$$

From $\beta \circ \Phi = \beta$ follows that for any $a \in A/I^2$, $\Phi(a) = a + \alpha(f_a)$ for some unique $f_a \in I/I^2$. Using that Φ is a k-algebra isomorphism, we obtain that the map $\psi : A/I^2 \longrightarrow I/I^2$ given by $\psi(a) := f_a$ is a k-derivation of A/I^2, and from $\Phi \circ \alpha = \alpha$ it follows that ψ factors through $B = A/I$. So we may assume $\psi \in \mathrm{Der}_k(B, I/I^2) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_B(\Omega_B, I/I^2)$. Therefore we have $\Phi = id + \alpha \circ \psi \circ \beta$, and hence $g' = g + u \circ \psi \circ \beta$. ☐

Proposition 4.12. *Let p be a maximal ideal of B and suppose that the completion of B at p is $\hat{B} = k[[x,y,z]]/(f)$ where f is of order ≥ 1. Then $f\hat{B}/f^2\hat{B} \simeq \hat{B}$ and*

$$\mathrm{Exalcom}_k(\hat{B}, \hat{B}) \simeq \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\hat{B}}(\Omega_{\hat{B}}, \hat{B}) = k[[x,y,z]]/(f, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}).$$

Moreover, for any $\varphi \in \mathrm{Hom}(\hat{B}, \hat{B})$, the corresponding infinitesimal extension $\hat{A}_\varphi$ of $\hat{B}$ by $\hat{B}$ is given by

$$0 \longrightarrow \hat{B} \xrightarrow{\hat{\alpha}_\varphi} \hat{A}_\varphi = k[[x,y,z,T]]/(f^2, T^2, \varphi T - f) \xrightarrow{\hat{\beta}_\varphi} \hat{B} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where we consider φ as an element of $\hat{B}$. The maps are defined by $\hat{\alpha}_\varphi(1) = T$ and $\hat{\beta}_\varphi(T) = 0$.

Proof. The only thing needing attention is the isomorphism

$$\hat{A}_\varphi \simeq k[[x,y,z,T]]/(f^2, T^2, \varphi T - f).$$

Using the definition of $\hat{A}_\varphi$ as $k[[x,y,z]]/(f^2) \oplus_\varphi \hat{B}$, the isomorphism is given by the map

$$\Phi\colon k[[x,y,z]]/(f^2) \oplus_\varphi \hat{B} \longrightarrow k[[x,y,z,T]]/(f^2, T^2, \varphi T - f),$$

defined by $\Phi(h,g) = h + Tg$. ☐

Remark 4.13. Note that for any invertible φ, we have $k[[x,y,z]]/(f^2) \simeq \hat{A}_\varphi$ via $\hat{g}_\varphi$.

We are looking at infinitesimal extensions of D obtained from embedding D into smooth 3-folds. Therefore, locally, the extensions we are concerned about need to have embedding dimension 3. From Proposition 4.12, we obtain the following:

Corollary 4.14. *Under the conditions of Proposition 4.12, $\hat{A}_\varphi$ has embedding dimension 3 if and only if φ is invertible.*

Now suppose that U contains one (and only one) singular point p of D. In this case we have the following:

Proposition 4.15. *Under the assumptions of Proposition 4.12, an infinitesimal extension A_φ of B by I/I^2 has embedding dimension 3 at p if and only if φ is isomorphism; in that case $g_\varphi : A/I^2 \longrightarrow A_\varphi$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. We look again at the definition of A_φ via (4.3):

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & I/I^2 \arrow[r, "\alpha"] \arrow[d, "\varphi"'] & A/I^2 \arrow[r, "\beta"] \arrow[d, "g_\varphi"'] & B \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & I/I^2 \arrow[r, "\alpha_\varphi"'] & A_\varphi \arrow[r, "\beta_\varphi"'] & B \arrow[r] & 0.
\end{tikzcd}$$

The question is local in p. Therefore we can take the completion of (4.3) along p to obtain

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & \hat{B} \arrow[r, "\alpha"] \arrow[d, "\hat{\varphi}"'] & k[[x,y,z]]/(f^2) \arrow[r, "\beta"] \arrow[d, "\hat{g}_\varphi"'] & \hat{B} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & \hat{B} \arrow[r, "\hat{\alpha}_\varphi"'] & \hat{A}_\varphi \arrow[r, "\hat{\beta}_\varphi"'] & \hat{B} \arrow[r] & 0.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since the construction of A_φ commutes with completion, by Corollary 4.14 we obtain that $\hat{A_\varphi} = A_{\hat\varphi}$ has embedding dimension 3 at p if and only if $\hat\varphi$ is an isomorphism. But completion is faithfully flat, and hence A_φ has embedding dimension 3 at p if and only if φ is an isomorphism, and so if and only if g_φ is an isomorphism. □

4.2.3. *Back to the global case.* In this section we want to prove the following:

Proposition 4.16. *Let D be a projective 2-dimensional variety over an algebraically closed field k, having only isolated hypersurface-singularities. Suppose D can be embedded into a smooth projective 3-fold X and let $\mathcal{I}_D$ denote the ideal sheaf of D in X. If $H^1(D, \mathcal{T}_D \otimes \mathcal{I}_D/\mathcal{I}_D^2) \simeq H^1(D, \mathcal{H}om(\Omega^1_D, \mathcal{I}_D/\mathcal{I}_D^2)) = 0$, then any two embeddings of D into smooth three-dimensional varieties, having conormal bundles isomorphic to $\mathcal{I}_D/\mathcal{I}_D^2$, are 2-equivalent.*

Proof. Cover X with affine open sets $\operatorname{Spec} A_i$ such that each of them contains at most one singular point of D. Let $V_i := \operatorname{Spec} A_i \cap D = \operatorname{Spec} B_i$ where $B_i = A_i/I_i$.

Consider another embedding $D' \subset X'$ of $D \simeq D'$ into a smooth 3-fold X', with conormal bundle isomorphic to $\mathcal{I}_D/\mathcal{I}_D^2$. It gives rise naturally to an infinitesimal extension

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_D/\mathcal{I}_D^2 \xrightarrow{\alpha'} \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\beta'} \mathcal{O}_D \longrightarrow 0 \tag{4.4}$$

of D by $\mathcal{I}_D/\mathcal{I}_D^2$, with $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{O}_{X'}/\mathcal{I}_{D'}^2$. Then by our previous discussion (Proposition 4.15), for each i there is an isomorphism $\varphi_i \in \operatorname{Hom}_{B_i}(I_i/I_i^2, I_i/I_i^2)$ such that restricting (4.4) to V_i, we obtain an isomorphism of extensions

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & I_i/I_i^2 \arrow[r, "\alpha_{\varphi_i}"] \arrow[d, equal] & A_{\varphi_i} \arrow[r, "\beta_{\varphi_i}"] \arrow[d, "g_i"'] & B_i \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & I_i/I_i^2 \arrow[r, "\alpha'_i"'] & \mathcal{A}|_{V_i} \arrow[r, "\beta'_i"'] & B \arrow[r] & 0,
\end{tikzcd} \tag{4.5}$$

where $A_{\varphi_i} = A_i/I_i^2 \oplus_{\varphi_i} I_i/I_i^2$ as in §4.2.2. Let $h_{\varphi_i} = g_i \circ g_{\varphi_i}$. Then, by (4.3) we obtain the following:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & I_i/I_i^2 \arrow[r, "\alpha_i"] \arrow[d, "\varphi_i"'] & A_i/I_i^2 \arrow[r, "\beta_i"] \arrow[d, "h_{\varphi_i}"'] & B_i \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & I_i/I_i^2 \arrow[r, "\alpha'_i"'] & \mathcal{A}|_{V_i} \arrow[r, "\beta'_i"'] & B \arrow[r] & 0.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Note that the definition of h_{φ_i} depends on g_i. But, according to Lemma 4.11, if we have g_i and g'_i making (4.5) commutative, then $g'_i = g_i + \alpha'_i \circ \psi_i \circ \beta_{\varphi_i}$ with $\psi_i \in \operatorname{Der}_k(B_i, I_i/I_i^2)$. Hence we get that, correspondingly, $h'_{\varphi_i} - h_{\varphi_i} = g'_i \circ g_{\varphi_i} - g_i \circ g_{\varphi_i} = (g'_i - g_i) \circ g_{\varphi_i} = \alpha'_i \circ \psi_i \circ \beta_i$.

Similarly, suppose that φ_i and φ'_i give isomorphic extensions A_{φ_i} and $A_{\varphi'_i}$. Then we know that $\varphi'_i - \varphi_i = \psi_i \circ \alpha_i$ with $\psi_i \in \operatorname{Der}_k(A_i/I_i^2, I_i/I_i^2)$ (Lemma 4.8), and we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & I_i/I_i^2 \arrow[r, "\alpha_i"] \arrow[d, equal] & A_i/I_i^2 \arrow[r, "\beta_i"] \arrow[d, "g_\varphi"'] & B_i \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & I_i/I_i^2 \arrow[r, "\alpha_{\varphi_i} \circ \varphi_i"] \arrow[d, equal] & A_{\varphi_i} \arrow[r, "\beta_{\varphi_i}"] \arrow[d, "\Phi_{\psi_i}"'] & B_i \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & I_i/I_i^2 \arrow[r, "\alpha_{\varphi'_i} \circ \varphi'_i"'] & A_{\varphi'_i} \arrow[r, "\beta_{\varphi'_i}"'] & B_i \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

where Φ_{ψ_i} is defined by $\Phi_{\psi_i}(a, f) = (a, f + \psi_i \circ \alpha_i \circ \varphi_i^{-1} f)$. Then $g_{\varphi'_i} = \Phi_{\psi_i} \circ g_{\varphi_i}$ and therefore $h_{\varphi'_i} = g'_i \circ g_{\varphi'_i} = (g'_i \circ \Phi_{\psi_i}) \circ g_{\varphi_i}$ and, as before, differs from h_{φ_i} by an element of $\operatorname{Der}_k(B_i, I_i/I_i^2)$.

On $V_{ij} = V_i \cap V_j$ we have both h_{φ_i} and h_{φ_j} and by the above considerations, we have $h_{\varphi_i} - h_{\varphi_j} = \alpha'_{ij} \circ \theta_{ij} \circ \beta_{ij}$ with $\theta_{ij} \in \operatorname{Der}_k(B_{ij}, I_{ij}/I_{ij}^2) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{B_{ij}}(\Omega_{B_{ij}}, I_{ij}/I_{ij}^2)$, and we obtain a well defined cohomology class $[\{\theta_{ij}\}] \in \check{H}^1(\{V_i\}, \mathcal{H}om(\Omega^1_D, \mathcal{I}_D/\mathcal{I}_D^2)) \simeq H^1(D, \mathcal{H}om(\Omega^1_D, \mathcal{I}_D/\mathcal{I}_D^2))$ assigned to the 2-structure $\mathcal{A}$ on D.

Conversely, given a cohomology class $[\{\theta_{ij}\}] \in \check{H}^1(\{V_i\}, \mathcal{H}om(\Omega^1_D, \mathcal{I}_D/\mathcal{I}^2_D))$ we can define a ring structure $\mathcal{A}$ on D in the following manner: For any i, we let $\mathcal{A}|_{V_i} := A_i/I_i^2$, where $a_i \in \mathcal{A}|_{V_i}$ is identified with $a_j \in \mathcal{A}|_{V_j}$ if $a_i = a_j + \alpha_{ij} \circ \theta_{ij} \circ \beta_{ij}(a_j)$.

If there is another cocycle $\{\theta'_{ij}\}$ cohomologous with $\{\theta_{ij}\}$ and $\mathcal{A}'$ the associated ring structure, then $\mathcal{A} \simeq \mathcal{A}'$. Indeed, we have $\theta'_{ij} - \theta_{ij} = \psi_i - \psi_j$ with $\psi_i \in \mathrm{Der}_k(B_i, I_i/I_i^2)$, for all i, so we can define maps $\Phi_i : A_i/I_i^2 \longrightarrow A_i/I_i^2$ by $\Phi_i(a_i) = a_i + \alpha_i \circ \psi_i \circ \beta_i(a_i)$. Then on V_{ij} we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_i(a_i) &= \Phi_i(a_j + \alpha \circ \theta_{ij} \circ \beta(a_j)) = \Phi_i(a_j) + \Phi_i(\alpha \circ \theta_{ij} \circ \beta(a_j))\\ &= a_j + \alpha \circ \psi_i \circ \beta(a_j) + \alpha \circ \theta_{ij} \circ \beta(a_j) + \alpha \circ \psi_i \circ \beta \circ \alpha \circ \theta_{ij} \circ \beta(a_j)\\ &= a_j + \alpha \circ \psi_j \circ \beta(a_j) + \alpha \circ \theta'_{ij} \circ \beta(a_j) = \Phi_j(a_j) + \alpha \circ \theta'_{ij} \circ \beta(\Phi(a_j))\end{aligned}$$

because $\theta'_{ij} + \psi_j = \theta_{ij} + \psi_i$ and $\beta \circ \alpha = 0$. Therefore the Φ_i's patch to give a morphism of ring structures $\Phi : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}'$. By a similar construction, we can also get $\Phi' : \mathcal{A}' \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ so that Φ and Φ' will be inverses.

Note that if $[\{\theta_{ij}\}] = 0$, then we get $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}^2_D$, the 2-structure on D.

Therefore, we have the following "commutative diagram":

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\{\text{embeddings } D \subset X' \text{ into smooth threefolds}\} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & H^1(D, \mathcal{H}om(\Omega^1_D, \mathcal{I}_D/\mathcal{I}^2_D)) \arrow[dl] \\
\{\text{ring structures locally isomorphic to } \mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}^2_D\} &
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the horizontal arrow is $(D \subset X') \longmapsto$ the cohomology class $[\{\theta_{ij}\}]$ defined by (4.4), the vertical arrow is $(D \subset X') \longmapsto \mathcal{O}_{X'}/\mathcal{I}^2_{D'}$ and the oblique arrow is $[\{\theta_{ij}\}] \longmapsto \mathcal{A}$ as defined above.

Therefore, if $H^1(D, \mathcal{H}om(\Omega^1_D, \mathcal{I}_D/\mathcal{I}^2_D)) = H^1(D, \mathcal{T}_D \otimes \mathcal{I}_D/\mathcal{I}^2_D) = 0$, then any embedding of D into a smooth 3-fold X' with ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}_{D'}$ gives a 2-structure $\mathcal{O}_{X'}/\mathcal{I}^2_{D'}$ on D isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}^2_D$. ☐

5. REDUCING THE PROOF OF ANALYTIC RIGIDITY TO THE VANISHING OF $H^1(D, \mathcal{T}_D)$

We now return to the proof of the Main Theorem.

Theorem 5.1 (Main Theorem)**.** *Let X be a smooth 3-fold over $\mathbb{C}$ and suppose we have a K-trivial birational extremal contraction $\varphi\colon X \longrightarrow Y$, contracting a divisor D to a point q in Y. Suppose D is normal, rational, and $(\omega^2_D) \geq 5$. Then the contraction φ is analytically rigid.*

As we showed in §2, under the conditions of the theorem $D \subset X$ is a normal rational del Pezzo surface of degree $d \geq 5$ (hence having only A_n-type singularities by Corollary 3.5). We denote by $\pi\colon \tilde{D} \longrightarrow D$ its minimal resolution, and by $\mathcal{I}_D$ the ideal sheaf of D in X. From now on we fix these notations.

Based on the results of §4, the proof of analytic rigidity is reduced to showing the vanishing of the cohomology groups $H^1(D, \mathcal{T}_D \otimes \mathcal{I}_D/\mathcal{I}^2_D)$ and $H^1(D, \mathcal{T}_X \otimes \mathcal{I}^\nu/\mathcal{I}^{\nu+1})$, $\forall \nu \geq 2$. In this section we show that $H^1(D, \mathcal{T}_D) = 0$ is a sufficient condition for achieving these vanishings.

Proposition 5.2. *If $H^1(D, \mathcal{T}_D) = 0$, then $H^1(D, \mathcal{T}_D \otimes \mathcal{I}^\nu_D/\mathcal{I}^{\nu+1}_D) = 0$ for all $\nu \geq 0$.*

Proof. First note that $\mathcal{N}_{D/X} \simeq \mathcal{O}_D(K_D)$ implies

$$\mathcal{I}^\nu_D/\mathcal{I}^{\nu+1}_D \simeq (\mathcal{I}_D/\mathcal{I}^2_D)^{\otimes\nu} \simeq \mathcal{O}_D(-\nu K_D). \tag{5.1}$$

Let $H \in |-K_D|$ be a general hyperplane section; we can take it to be an irreducible, smooth elliptic curve (Proposition 3.2) that avoids the singular points of D.

Note that $\mathcal{T}_D$ is locally free outside the singular points of D, and therefore tensoring

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_D(-H) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_D \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_H \longrightarrow 0 \tag{5.2}$$

by $\mathcal{T}_D \otimes \mathcal{I}^\nu_D/\mathcal{I}^{\nu+1}_D$ we obtain

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_D \otimes \mathcal{I}^{\nu-1}_D/\mathcal{I}^\nu_D \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_D \otimes \mathcal{I}^\nu_D/\mathcal{I}^{\nu+1}_D \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_D \otimes \mathcal{I}^\nu_D/\mathcal{I}^{\nu+1}_D|_H \longrightarrow 0. \tag{5.3}$$

Next we consider the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_H/\mathcal{I}^2_H \longrightarrow \Omega^1_D|_H \longrightarrow \Omega^1_H \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $\mathcal{I}_H$ is the ideal sheaf of H in D. Since H is an elliptic curve and $\deg(-K_D|_H) = d = \deg(D)$, we obtain that:

$$\begin{aligned} &h^0(H, \mathcal{T}_D \otimes \mathcal{I}_D^\nu/\mathcal{I}_D^{\nu+1}|_H) = (2\nu+1)d \\ &h^1(H, \mathcal{T}_D \otimes \mathcal{I}_D^\nu/\mathcal{I}_D^{\nu+1}|_H) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by induction, using the long exact sequence associated to (5.3) and the condition $H^1(D, \mathcal{T}_D) = 0$, we obtain $H^1(D, \mathcal{T}_D \otimes \mathcal{I}_D^\nu/\mathcal{I}_D^{\nu+1}) = 0$ for all $\nu \geq 0$. ☐

Corollary 5.3. *Under the assumptions of the Main Theorem, if $H^1(D, \mathcal{T}_D) = 0$, then any two embeddings of D into smooth three-dimensional varieties, having conormal bundles isomorphic to $\mathcal{I}_D/\mathcal{I}_D^2$, are 2-equivalent*

Theorem 5.4. *Under the assumptions of the Main Theorem, if $H^1(D, \mathcal{T}_D) = 0$, then $H^1(D, \mathcal{T}_X \otimes \mathcal{I}_D^\nu/\mathcal{I}_D^{\nu+1}) = 0$, $\forall \nu \geq 2$.*

Proof. In the case when D is nonsingular, the teorem is an easy consequence of Proposition 5.2 and the vanishing of the first cohomology of $\mathcal{O}_D((1-\nu)K_D)$ for any del Pezzo surface (Proposition 3.2). We actually obtain the vanishing of $H^1(D, \mathcal{T}_X \otimes \mathcal{I}_D^\nu/\mathcal{I}_D^{\nu+1}) = 0$, for all $\nu \geq 1$.

So we concentrate on the case when D is normal rational. In this case the difficulty comes from the fact that the tangent sheaf $\mathcal{T}_D$ is not locally free anymore. In fact, $H^1(D, \mathcal{T}_X \otimes \mathcal{I}_D/\mathcal{I}_D^2)$ doesn't vanish in general (see Remark 5.9 below), but an easy argument shows that it is enough to prove that $H^1(D, \mathcal{T}_X \otimes \mathcal{I}_D^2/\mathcal{I}_D^3) = 0$.

Indeed, for a general $H \in |-K_D|$, as in the proof of Proposition 5.2, tensor

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_D(-H) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_D \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_H \longrightarrow 0$$

by $\mathcal{T}_X \otimes \mathcal{I}_D^\nu/\mathcal{I}_D^{\nu+1}$ for $\nu \geq 2$. From the long exact sequence of cohomology, we get

$$H^1(D, \mathcal{T}_X \otimes \mathcal{I}_D^{\nu-1}/\mathcal{I}_D^\nu) \longrightarrow H^1(D, \mathcal{T}_X \otimes \mathcal{I}_D^\nu/\mathcal{I}_D^{\nu+1}) \longrightarrow H^1(H, \mathcal{T}_X \otimes \mathcal{I}_D^\nu/\mathcal{I}_D^{\nu+1}|_H).$$

Consider the exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_H \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_X|_H \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}_{H/X} \longrightarrow 0$$

and

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}_{H/D} \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}_{H/X} \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}_{D/X}|_H \longrightarrow 0.$$

The latter sequence is exact because both H in D and D in X are Cartier divisors; see for example [13, 19.1.5].

We know that $\mathcal{N}_{H/D} = \mathcal{O}_H(-K_D)$, $\mathcal{N}_{D/X}|_H = \mathcal{O}_H(K_D)$, so tensoring the above exact sequences with $\mathcal{I}_D^\nu/\mathcal{I}_D^{\nu+1} = \mathcal{O}_D(-\nu K_D)$ yields $H^1(H, \mathcal{N}_{H/X} \otimes \mathcal{I}_D^\nu/\mathcal{I}_D^{\nu+1}) = 0$ (because $-K_D$ is nonzero and effective) and hence $H^1(H, \mathcal{T}_X \otimes \mathcal{I}_D^\nu/\mathcal{I}_D^{\nu+1}|_H) = 0$ for all $\nu \geq 2$. (Recall that H is an elliptic curve.)

Therefore we have a surjection

$$H^1(D, \mathcal{T}_X \otimes \mathcal{I}_D^{\nu-1}/\mathcal{I}_D^\nu) \longrightarrow H^1(D, \mathcal{T}_X \otimes \mathcal{I}_D^\nu/\mathcal{I}_D^{\nu+1}) \longrightarrow 0 \qquad \forall \nu \geq 2,$$

and so we can use induction on ν to prove the vanishing of $H^1(D, \mathcal{T}_X \otimes \mathcal{I}_D^\nu/\mathcal{I}_D^{\nu+1})$.

The proof is finished by the following proposition. ☐

Proposition 5.5. *Suppose $H^1(D, \mathcal{T}_D) = 0$. Then $H^1(D, \mathcal{T}_X \otimes \mathcal{I}_D^2/\mathcal{I}_D^3) = 0$.*

Proof. The sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_D/\mathcal{I}_D^2 \longrightarrow \Omega_X^1|_D \longrightarrow \Omega_D^1 \longrightarrow 0 \tag{5.4}$$

is exact because D is a Cartier divisor in the smooth 3-fold X. Applying the functor $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_D}(-, \mathcal{I}_D^2/\mathcal{I}_D^3)$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \longrightarrow &\mathcal{H}om(\Omega_D^1, \mathcal{I}_D^2/\mathcal{I}_D^3) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}om(\Omega_X^1|_D, \mathcal{I}_D^2/\mathcal{I}_D^3) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{I}_D/\mathcal{I}_D^2, \mathcal{I}_D^2/\mathcal{I}_D^3) \longrightarrow \\ \longrightarrow &\mathcal{E}xt^1(\Omega_D^1, \mathcal{I}_D^2/\mathcal{I}_D^3) \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}xt^1_{\mathcal{O}_D}(\Omega_X^1|_D, \mathcal{I}_D^2/\mathcal{I}_D^3) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_D \otimes \mathcal{I}_D^2/\mathcal{I}_D^3 \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_X|_D \otimes \mathcal{I}_D^2/\mathcal{I}_D^3 \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{I}_D/\mathcal{I}_D^2, \mathcal{I}_D^2/\mathcal{I}_D^3) \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}xt^1(\Omega_D^1, \mathcal{I}_D^2/\mathcal{I}_D^3) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Break this up into two short exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_D \otimes \mathcal{I}_D^2/\mathcal{I}_D^3 \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_X \otimes \mathcal{I}_D^2/\mathcal{I}_D^3 \longrightarrow \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{5.5}$$

and

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{I}_D/\mathcal{I}_D^2, \mathcal{I}_D^2/\mathcal{I}_D^3) \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}xt^1(\Omega_D^1, \mathcal{I}_D^2/\mathcal{I}_D^3) \longrightarrow 0. \tag{5.6}$$

By Proposition 5.2, $H^1(D, \mathcal{T}_D) = 0$ implies $H^1(D, \mathcal{T}_D \otimes \mathcal{I}_D^2/\mathcal{I}_D^3) = 0$. (This is the only place in the proof where we are using the assumption $H^1(D, \mathcal{T}_D) = 0$.) As $\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{I}_D/\mathcal{I}_D^2, \mathcal{I}_D^2/\mathcal{I}_D^3) \simeq \mathcal{I}_D/\mathcal{I}_D^2 \simeq \mathcal{O}_D(-K_D)$, we also have $H^1(D, \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{I}_D/\mathcal{I}_D^2, \mathcal{I}_D^2/\mathcal{I}_D^3)) = H^1(D, \mathcal{O}_D(-K_D)) = 0$ ([16]). Hence, from the corresponding long exact sequences on cohomology we get

$$0 \longrightarrow H^1(D, \mathcal{T}_X \otimes \mathcal{I}_D^2/\mathcal{I}_D^3) \longrightarrow H^1(D, \mathcal{C}) = \operatorname{coker}(\Phi),$$

where $\Phi : \operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{I}_D/\mathcal{I}_D^2, \mathcal{I}_D^2/\mathcal{I}_D^3) \longrightarrow H^0(D, \mathcal{E}xt^1(\Omega_D^1, \mathcal{I}_D^2/\mathcal{I}_D^3))$ is obtained from (5.6) by taking global sections.

So now our goal is to show that Φ is surjective, and hence obtain that $H^1(D, \mathcal{T}_X \otimes \mathcal{I}_D^2/\mathcal{I}_D^3) = 0$. As $\dim H^0(D, \mathcal{E}xt^1_{\mathcal{O}_D}(\Omega_D^1, \mathcal{I}_D^2/\mathcal{I}_D^3)) = \Sigma_{i=1}^r \lambda_i$ if D has r singularities, of type A_{λ_1}, A_{λ_2}, ..., A_{λ_r} respectively (Lemma 5.6), and $\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{I}_D/\mathcal{I}_D^2, \mathcal{I}_D^2/\mathcal{I}_D^3) \simeq H^0(D, \mathcal{O}_D(-K_D))$, it is enough to show that $\dim \ker(\Phi) = \dim(H^0(D, \mathcal{O}_D(-K_D))) - \Sigma_{i=1}^r \lambda_i$.

Let us look at the kernel of Φ. Retaining the notations from §4.2, we observe (using Čech cohomology), that Φ is given by

$$s \mapsto \Big\{ (0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_D^2/\mathcal{I}_D^3 \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_s \longrightarrow \Omega_D^1 \longrightarrow 0)|_U \Big\}_U \in \check{H}^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{E}xt^1(\Omega_D^1, \mathcal{I}_D^2/\mathcal{I}_D^3))$$

for any morphism $s \in \operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{I}_D/\mathcal{I}_D^2, \mathcal{I}_D^2/\mathcal{I}_D^3)$, where $\mathcal{M}_s = \Omega_X^1|_D \oplus_s \mathcal{I}_D^2/\mathcal{I}_D^3$ from the following diagram:

(5.7)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & \mathcal{I}_D/\mathcal{I}_D^2 \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "s"'] & \Omega_X^1|_D \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \Omega_D^1 \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & \mathcal{I}_D^2/\mathcal{I}_D^3 \arrow[r] & \mathcal{M}_s \arrow[r] & \Omega_D^1 \arrow[r] & 0.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Therefore $\Phi(s) = 0$ is a local condition, equivalent to the exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_D^2/\mathcal{I}_D^3 \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_s \longrightarrow \Omega_D^1 \longrightarrow 0$ splitting locally. On the smooth portion of D, $\mathcal{E}xt^1(\Omega_D^1, \mathcal{I}_D^2/\mathcal{I}_D^3)$ vanishes, since Ω_D^1 is locally free. Hence we only have to look near the singular points of D. Let p be a singular point of D, and let $V = \operatorname{Spec} B \subset D$ be an affine open neighborhood of p.

Locally then $s \in \operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{I}_D/\mathcal{I}_D^2, \mathcal{I}_D^2/\mathcal{I}_D^3)$ is a homomorphism $s : B \longrightarrow B$, and the diagram (5.7) translates to

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & B \arrow[r, "\alpha"] \arrow[d, "s"'] & B^3 \arrow[r, "\beta"] \arrow[d, "\gamma"'] & M \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & B \arrow[r, "\alpha_s"'] & B^3 \oplus_s B \arrow[r, "\beta_s"'] & M \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $M = \Omega_D^1|_V \simeq \Omega_B$. The bottom row splits if and only if there is a map $P : B^3 \oplus_s B \longrightarrow B$ such that $P \circ \alpha_s = id_B$, i.e. $P(0, g) = g$, for all $g \in B$. This is equivalent to the existence of $Q : B^3 \longrightarrow B$ such that $Q \circ \alpha = s$.

Indeed, if P exists, then take $Q := P \circ \gamma$. Conversely, given Q, define $P(v, g) := Q(v) + g$.

Therefore the bottom row splits if and only if $s \in \operatorname{im} \alpha^*$ in

$$0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(M, B) \xrightarrow{\beta^*} \operatorname{Hom}(B^3, B) \xrightarrow{\alpha^*} \operatorname{Hom}(B, B).$$

Completion is faithfully flat, so we can replace B by its completion at the maximal ideal of B corresponding to p. Recall that D has only A_λ-type singularities (Corollary 3.5), hence we can assume that $B = k[[x, y, z]]/(f)$ where $f(x, y, z) = x^{\lambda+1} + yz$ corresponding to an A_λ-singularity . In this case, the map $\alpha \colon B \longrightarrow B^3$ is given by the partial derivatives of f: $\alpha(1) = \begin{pmatrix} (\lambda+1)x^\lambda \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix}$. Therefore, if we identify $\operatorname{Hom}(B^l, B)$ with B^l, the condition $s \in \operatorname{im} \alpha^*$ is equivalent to $s \in (x^\lambda, y, z)B$, and hence to $s \in J(p)$, where $J(p)$ is the Jacobian ideal of D at p. Note that this is independent of a coordinate change.

Therefore, $\ker(\Phi) = \{s \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{I}_D/\mathcal{I}_D^2, \mathcal{I}_D^2/\mathcal{I}_D^3) \simeq H^0(D, \mathcal{O}_D(-K_D))\colon\ s \in J(p)$ for any singular point p of $D\}$, and the proof is concluded by the following:

Claim. If D has r singularities, of type A_{λ_1}, A_{λ_2}, ..., A_{λ_r}, then, using the above description of $\ker(\Phi)$, $\dim\ker(\Phi) = \dim H^0(D, \mathcal{O}_D(-K_D)) - \Sigma_{i=1}^r \lambda_i$.

It is easy to see the Claim is true in the case when D has one or two A_1-singularities, because in this case s belongs to the Jacobian ideal if and only if the corresponding hyperplane section passes through the (two) singular point(s). However, the statement is not obvious for any other combination of singularities for D, and the proof will be given in Lemma 5.7 below. ☐

Lemma 5.6. *Let D be a projective surface over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic 0. Suppose D has r singularities, of types A_{λ_1}, A_{λ_2}, ..., A_{λ_r} respectively. Then* $h^0(D, \mathcal{E}xt^1_{\mathcal{O}_D}(\Omega^1_D, \mathcal{I}_D^2/\mathcal{I}_D^3)) = \Sigma_{i=1}^r \lambda_i$.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{E}xt^1_{\mathcal{O}_D}(\Omega^1_D, \mathcal{I}_D^2/\mathcal{I}_D^3))$ is a skyscraper sheaf, we may assume that D is affine, say $D = \operatorname{Spec} B$, having one singularity p, of type A_λ. Then the completion $\hat{B}_p$ of the local ring B_p is isomorphic to $k[[x,y,z]]/(x^{\lambda+1} + y^2 + z^2)$. Consider the exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \hat{B}_p \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} (\lambda+1)x^\lambda \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix}} \hat{B}_p^3 \longrightarrow \Omega_{\hat{B}_{p/k}} \longrightarrow 0.$$

From here, using the long exact sequence on Ext's, we can easily conclude that $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\hat{B}_p}(\Omega_{\hat{B}_p/k}, \hat{B}_p) \simeq (k[[x,y,z]]/(x^{\lambda+1} + y^2 + z^2))/(x^\lambda, y, z) \simeq k^\lambda$. But $\Omega_{\hat{B}_p/k} \simeq \Omega_{B_p/k} \otimes_{B_p} \hat{B}_p$ and completion is faithfully flat, and therefore we obtain an isomorphism $\mathcal{E}xt^1_{\mathcal{O}_D}(\Omega^1_D, \mathcal{O}_D) \simeq k^\lambda$. ☐

Lemma 5.7. *Let D be a normal, rational del Pezzo surface of degree $d \geq 5$. If D has r singularities, $p_1, p_2, \dots p_r$, of type A_{λ_1}, A_{λ_2}, ..., A_{λ_r} respectively, let us denote by $J(p_i)$ the Jacobian ideal of D at p_i. Then*

$$\dim\{s \in H^0(D, \mathcal{O}_D(-K_D)) : s \in J(p_i), \forall i\} = \dim H^0(D, \mathcal{O}_D(-K_D)) - \Sigma_{i=1}^r \lambda_i.$$

Proof. As observed above, the lemma is obvious in the case when D has one or two A_1-singularities, because in this case s belongs to the Jacobian ideal if and only if the corresponding hyperplane section passes through the (two) singular point(s). So we concentrate on the cases when D is more singular.

In order to compute $\dim\{s \in H^0(D, \mathcal{O}_D(-K_D)) : s \in J(p_i), \forall i\}$, we look at the anticanonical embedding of D into $\mathbb{P}^d$ ([16, Cor. 4.5]). An element s of $H^0(D, \mathcal{O}_D(-K_D))$ can be considered as a hyperplane section of $D \subset \mathbb{P}^d$.

If the minimal resolution $\tilde{D}$ of D is obtained from the blowing up of a set of (possibly infinitely near) points Σ on $\mathbb{P}^2$ (as described in §3.2), we have $H^0(D, \mathcal{O}_D(-K_D)) \simeq H^0(\tilde{D}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{D}}(-K_{\tilde{D}})) = \{s \in H^0(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(3))\colon s$ has base-points at the points of $\Sigma\}$. Therefore the anticanonical embedding of D is the closure of the image of a map $\varphi_0\colon \mathbb{P}^2 \cdots \to \mathbb{P}^d$ having the assigned base-points Σ. Fixing homogeneous coordinates $[x_0 : x_1 : x_2]$ on $\mathbb{P}^2$ and $[a : b : c : d : e : \dots]$ on $\mathbb{P}^d$, this map can be explicitly described, and we can find the homogeneous ideal I_0 of the closure of its image by elimination theory (using the computer programs Maple or Macaulay2). Therefore we have an explicit description of the homogeneous ideal defining $D \subset \mathbb{P}^d$, and so we can compute the complete local rings of D at the singular points of D and hence their respective Jacobian ideals.

In the following we describe in detail the case when the minimal resolution $\tilde{D}$ of D is isomorphic to S_4'' (notation as in §3.2). For the rest of the cases, the computations are similar, and are left to the reader.

The degree of D when $\tilde{D} = S_4''$ is $d = 5$, therefore $|-K_D|$ embeds D into $\mathbb{P}^5$ via $\varphi_0\colon \mathbb{P}^2 \cdots \to \mathbb{P}^5$. By the description of the blow-up from §3.2, $H^0(D, \mathcal{O}_D(-K_D))$ is obtained from those sections of $H^0(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-K_{\mathbb{P}^2}))$ that pass through the infinitely near points given by Σ_4'', namely $[x_0 : x_1 : x_2] = [1 : 0 : 0], [1 : 0 : 1]$, $[1 : 0 : -1]$, and the point p_4 corresponding to the tangent direction to the line $x_2 = 0$, i.e. from those sections $s \in H^0(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-K_{\mathbb{P}^2}))$ for which we have:

$$\begin{aligned} s(1,0,0) &= 0 \\ s(1,0,1) &= 0 \\ s(1,0,-1) &= 0 \\ s_{x_1}(1,0,0) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

These give us the map $\varphi_0 : \mathbb{P}^2 \cdots \to \mathbb{P}^5$, defined by

$$[x_0 : x_1 : x_2] \longrightarrow [x_1^3 : x_2^3 - x_0^2 x_2 : x_0 x_1^2 : x_1^2 x_2 : x_1 x_2^2 : x_0 x_1 x_2].$$

The image of $\varphi_{|-K_D|}$ in $\mathbb{P}^5$ is the closure of $\varphi_0(\mathbb{P}^2)$.

To compute the ideal of $D \simeq \overline{\varphi_0(\mathbb{P}^2)} \subset \mathbb{P}^5$, we use elimination, with the help of the Maple or Macaulay 2 computer programss. We obtain that the embedding of D into $\mathbb{P}^5$ is given by the ideal

$$I_0 = (ce - df, d^2 - ae, cd - af, bd - e^2 + f^2, ab - de + cf),$$

where the homogeneous coordinates in $\mathbb{P}^5$ are given by a, b, c, d, e, f. In this case D has one A_2-singularity, at $p = [0 : 1 : 0 : 0 : 0 : 0]$.

The singular point of D is in the affine piece $\{b \neq 0\}$ of $\mathbb{P}^5$. After dehomogenizing (putting $b = 1$ and keeping the notation unchanged for the other coordinates), we obtain that the coordinate ring of D at p is $B = k[c, e, f]/(ce - fe^2 + f^3)$ (where $a = -cf + e^3 - f^2 e$ and $d = e^2 - f^2$). Therefore the Jacobian ideal at p is $J(p) = (c, e, f^2)$. Direct computation shows that $\{s \in H^0(D, \mathcal{O}_D(-K_D)) : s \in J(p)\} = \{\mu_1 a + \mu_2 b + \mu_3 c + \mu_4 d + \mu_5 e + \mu_6 f : \mu_2 = \mu_6 = 0\}$, hence it has the required dimension. □

Corollary 5.8. *Suppose that $H^1(D, \mathcal{T}_D) = 0$. Then the Main Theorem holds, i.e. the contraction φ is analytically rigid.*

Proof. Suppose D and X are as in the Main Theorem (Theorem 5.1). Then, if $H^1(D, \mathcal{T}_D) = 0$, any two embeddings of D into smooth 3-folds with normal bundles isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_D(K_D)$ are 2-equivalent and we also have the vanishing of $H^1(D, \mathcal{T}_X \otimes \mathcal{I}^\nu/\mathcal{I}^{\nu+1})$ for any $\nu \geq 2$ (Corollary 5.3 and Theorem 5.4). Therefore, by Lemma 4.1 and [17, Theorem 3], the Main Theorem holds □

Remark 5.9. In the case when D is nonsingular, the vanishing $H^1(D, \mathcal{T}_X \otimes \mathcal{I}_D/\mathcal{I}_D^2) = 0$ is and easy consequence of the vanishing of $H^1(D, \mathcal{T}_D)$ (via the exact sequence (5.4), using Proposition 5.2 and the vanishing of $H^1(D, \mathcal{O}_D)$). Hence, by induction (as in the proof of Theorem 5.4), we have the vanishing of $H^1(D, \mathcal{T}_X \otimes \mathcal{I}_D^\nu/\mathcal{I}_D^{\nu+1})$, for all $\nu \geq 2$. However, if D is singular, it is not generally true that $H^1(D, \mathcal{T}_D) = 0$ implies $H^1(D, \mathcal{T}_X \otimes \mathcal{I}_D/\mathcal{I}_D^2) = 0$.

Indeed, suppose D has at least one singular point. Consider the exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(\Omega_D^1, \mathcal{I}_D/\mathcal{I}_D^2) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(\Omega_X^1|_D, \mathcal{I}_D/\mathcal{I}_D^2) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{I}_D/\mathcal{I}_D^2, \mathcal{I}_D/\mathcal{I}_D^2) \xrightarrow{\delta} \\ \xrightarrow{\delta} \operatorname{Ext}^1(\Omega_D^1, \mathcal{I}_D/\mathcal{I}_D^2) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^1(\Omega_X^1|_D, \mathcal{I}_D/\mathcal{I}_D^2) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^1(\mathcal{I}_D/\mathcal{I}_D^2, \mathcal{I}_D/\mathcal{I}_D^2) \end{aligned}$$

obtained from (5.4). By Serre duality and D being del Pezzo, we have the following: $\operatorname{Ext}^1(\mathcal{I}_D/\mathcal{I}_D^2, \mathcal{I}_D/\mathcal{I}_D^2) \simeq H^1(D, \mathcal{O}_D) = 0$, $\operatorname{Ext}^1(\Omega_X^1|_D, \mathcal{I}_D/\mathcal{I}_D^2) \simeq H^1(D, \mathcal{T}_X|_D \otimes \mathcal{I}_D/\mathcal{I}_D^2)$ and $\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{I}_D/\mathcal{I}_D^2, \mathcal{I}_D/\mathcal{I}_D^2) \simeq k$, so we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(\Omega_D^1, \mathcal{I}_D/\mathcal{I}_D^2) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(\Omega_X^1|_D, \mathcal{I}_D/\mathcal{I}_D^2) \longrightarrow k \xrightarrow{\delta} \\ \xrightarrow{\delta} \operatorname{Ext}^1(\Omega_D^1, \mathcal{I}_D/\mathcal{I}_D^2) \longrightarrow H^1(D, \mathcal{T}_X|_D \otimes \mathcal{I}_D/\mathcal{I}_D^2) \longrightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

But $\delta(1)$ corresponds to the extension (5.4) that is not split, and hence not zero in $\operatorname{Ext}^1(\Omega_D^1, \mathcal{I}_D/\mathcal{I}_D^2)$. Therefore δ is injective and we have

$$0 \longrightarrow k \xrightarrow{\delta} \operatorname{Ext}^1(\Omega_D^1, \mathcal{I}_D/\mathcal{I}_D^2) \longrightarrow H^1(D, \mathcal{T}_X \otimes \mathcal{I}_D/\mathcal{I}_D^2) \longrightarrow 0. \tag{5.8}$$

From the five-term exact sequence associated to the local to global spectral sequence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \longrightarrow H^1(D, \mathcal{H}om(\Omega_D^1, \mathcal{O}_D)) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^1(\Omega_D^1, \mathcal{O}_D) \longrightarrow \Gamma(D, \mathcal{E}xt^1_{\mathcal{O}_D}(\Omega_D^1, \mathcal{O}_D)) \longrightarrow \\ \longrightarrow H^2(D, \mathcal{H}om(\Omega_D^1, \mathcal{O}_D)) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^2(\Omega_D^1, \mathcal{O}_D) \end{aligned}$$

or with the notation $\mathcal{T}_D = \mathcal{H}om(\Omega_D^1, \mathcal{O}_D)$ and using the vanishing $H^2(D, \mathcal{T}_D) = 0$ ([10, Lemma 5.6]):

$$0 \longrightarrow H^1(D, \mathcal{T}_D) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^1(\Omega_D^1, \mathcal{O}_D) \longrightarrow \Gamma(D, \mathcal{E}xt^1_{\mathcal{O}_D}(\Omega_D^1, \mathcal{O}_D)) \longrightarrow 0. \tag{5.9}$$

We know by Lemma 5.6 that $\dim \Gamma(D, \mathcal{E}xt^1_{\mathcal{O}_D}(\Omega_D^1, \mathcal{O}_D)) = \Sigma_{i=1}^r \lambda_i$, if D has r singularities, of type A_{λ_1}, A_{λ_2}, ..., A_{λ_r} respectively. Therefore, if $H^1(D, \mathcal{T}_D) = 0$, we obtain $\dim \operatorname{Ext}^1(\Omega_D^1, \mathcal{O}_D) = \Sigma_{i=1}^r \lambda_i$.

Now suppose that $H^1(D, \mathcal{T}_X \otimes \mathcal{I}_D/\mathcal{I}_D^2) = 0$. Then the exact sequence (5.8) implies that $\dim \operatorname{Ext}^1(\Omega_D^1, \mathcal{O}_D) = 1$, and hence D can have only one singularity, of type A_1. However, this is not the case in general, and hence $H^1(D, \mathcal{T}_X \otimes \mathcal{I}_D/\mathcal{I}_D^2)$ doesn't vanish for a general singular del Pezzo surface with $H^1(D, \mathcal{T}_D) = 0$.

6. Computing the obstruction to formal equivalence: the vanishing of $H^1(D, \mathcal{T}_D)$

Let D be a normal rational del Pezzo surface of degree $d \geq 5$. We showed (Corollary 5.8) that $H^1(D, \mathcal{T}_D) = 0$ is a sufficient condition for the analytic rigidity of the contraction φ in the Main Theorem. Here we show the vanishing of $H^1(D, \mathcal{T}_D)$.

6.1. **The Leray spectral sequence.** As D is a surface having only isolated normal singularities, $\pi_*\mathcal{T}_{\tilde{D}} \simeq \mathcal{T}_D$ ([3, Prop. (1.2)]). We use this fact and the Leray spectral sequence

$$E_2^{p,q} = H^p(D, R^q\pi_*\mathcal{T}_{\tilde{D}}) \Rightarrow E_\infty^{p+q} = H^{p+q}(\tilde{D}, \mathcal{T}_{\tilde{D}})$$

to compare the cohomology of $\mathcal{T}_D$ with that of $\mathcal{T}_{\tilde{D}}$.

The first four terms of the corresponding five-term exact sequence ([7, Theorem I.4.5.1])

$$0 \longrightarrow E_2^{1,0} \longrightarrow E_\infty^1 \longrightarrow E_2^{0,1} \longrightarrow E_2^{2,0} \longrightarrow E_\infty^2$$

give in our case

$$0 \longrightarrow H^1(D, \pi_*\mathcal{T}_{\tilde{D}}) \longrightarrow H^1(\tilde{D}, \mathcal{T}_{\tilde{D}}) \longrightarrow H^0(D, R^1\pi_*\mathcal{T}_{\tilde{D}}) \longrightarrow H^2(D, \pi_*\mathcal{T}_{\tilde{D}}).$$

With the identification $\pi_*\mathcal{T}_{\tilde{D}} \simeq \mathcal{T}_D$, and using $H^2(D, \mathcal{T}_D) = 0$ [10, Lemma 5.6], we obtain

$$0 \longrightarrow H^1(D, \mathcal{T}_D) \longrightarrow H^1(\tilde{D}, \mathcal{T}_{\tilde{D}}) \longrightarrow H^0(D, R^1\pi_*\mathcal{T}_{\tilde{D}}) \longrightarrow 0. \tag{6.1}$$

In order to show the vanishing of $H^1(D, \mathcal{T}_D)$, we show that $\dim H^1(\tilde{D}, \mathcal{T}_{\tilde{D}}) = \dim H^0(D, R^1\pi_*\mathcal{T}_{\tilde{D}})$.

6.2. **Local computations:** $H^0(D, R^1\pi_*\mathcal{T}_{\tilde{D}})$. As D is a normal rational del Pezzo surface of degree $d \geq 5$, it has only singularities of type A_1, A_2, A_3 and A_4 (Corollary 3.5). Denote by E the exceptional locus of its minimal resolution $\pi\colon \tilde{D} \longrightarrow D$.

We have $H^0(D, R^1\pi_*\mathcal{T}_{\tilde{D}}) \simeq R^1\pi_*\mathcal{T}_{\tilde{D}}$ if regarded as a complex vector-spaces, as $R^1\pi_*(\pi^*\mathcal{T}_{\tilde{D}})$ is a skyscraper sheaf supported on the singular points of D. By the theorem of formal functions ([15, Theorem III.11.1]), we obtain

$$R^1\pi_*\mathcal{T}_{\tilde{D}} \simeq \varprojlim H^1(\mathcal{E}_n, \mathcal{T}_{\tilde{D}}|_{\mathcal{E}_n}),$$

where $\mathcal{E}_n$ is the closed subscheme of $\tilde{D}$ defined by $\mathcal{I}_E^n$, where $\mathcal{I}_E$ is the ideal sheaf of E in $\tilde{D}$.

From [3, (1.6)], we have the following lemma, true for any surface having only isolated rational singularities:

Lemma 6.1. *If Z is an effective divisor on $\tilde{D}$ supported on E, there is an exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_Z \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\tilde{D}}|_Z \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\lambda} \mathcal{N}_{E_i/\tilde{D}} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{6.2}$$

where $E_1, E_2, \dots, E_\lambda$ are the irreducible components of E and $\mathcal{N}_{E_i/\tilde{D}} := \mathcal{O}_{E_i}(E_i) = \mathcal{O}_{E_i}(-2)$ is the normal bundle of E_i in $\tilde{D}$. (The second map of (6.2) *is the sum of the compositions $\mathcal{T}_{\tilde{D}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\tilde{D}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{E_i} \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}_{E_i/\tilde{D}}$.)*

By the tautness of rational double point singularities ([29]) we have that $H^1(\mathcal{T}_Z) = 0$. Therefore, the long exact sequence obtained from (6.2) implies $h^1(\mathcal{E}_n, \mathcal{T}_{\tilde{D}}|_{\mathcal{E}_n}) = h^1(\mathcal{E}_n, \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\lambda} \mathcal{N}_{E_i})$, for all $n \geq 0$. This shows that if D has r singularities, of type A_{λ_1}, A_{λ_2}, ..., A_{λ_r} respectively, then $h^0(D, R^1\pi_*\mathcal{T}_{\tilde{D}}) = \Sigma_{i=1}^r \lambda_i$.

6.3. **Global computations:** $H^1(\tilde{D}, \mathcal{T}_{\tilde{D}})$. Here we show that $\dim H^1(\tilde{D}, \mathcal{T}_{\tilde{D}}) = \Sigma_{i=1}^r \lambda_i$ as well, and therefore we obtain $H^1(D, \mathcal{T}_D) = 0$ from (6.1) .

First, we need some preliminary results relating the tangent bundle of a (smooth) surface S to that of a one-point blow-up of S (Lemma 6.3).

Lemma 6.2. *Let $\sigma\colon S' \longrightarrow S$ be a birational morphism of smooth projective surfaces and let $\mathcal{F}$ be a locally free sheaf on S. Then $H^*(S, \mathcal{F}) = H^*(S', \sigma^*\mathcal{F})$.*

Proof. The morphism σ can be factored as the composition of blow-ups. Therefore it is enough to assume σ itself is the blow-up of a point $p \in S$.

Because the sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ is locally free, the projection formula and normality of S imply that $\sigma_*\sigma^*\mathcal{F} \simeq \mathcal{F}$. Therefore, by a degenerate case of the Leray spectral sequence, it is enough to show that $R^i\sigma_*\sigma^*\mathcal{F} = 0$,

$\forall i > 0$. But again, by the projection formula and $\sigma_*\mathcal{O}_{S'} = \mathcal{O}_S$, we can reduce this to showing that $R^i\sigma_*\mathcal{O}_{S'} = 0$, which is proven in [15, Prop. V.3.4]. □

Lemma 6.3. *Let $\sigma\colon S' \longrightarrow S$ be the blowing up of a smooth projective surface S at a point p and let $\mathcal{E}$ denote the exceptional locus. We then have an exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_{S'} \longrightarrow \sigma^*\mathcal{T}_S \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}(1) \longrightarrow 0. \tag{6.3}$$

Proof. By smoothness of S at p, we may assume (after completion) that p has a neighborhood in S analytically isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}^2$. In this case we may consider σ as the blow-up $\sigma\colon U \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}^2$ of the origin in $\mathbb{A}^2$. The lemma now follows from an easy computation in local coordinates. □

Here is also a more general version:

Lemma 6.4. *Let $\sigma\colon S' \longrightarrow S$ be the blowing up of a smooth projective variety S of dimension n at a point p and let $\mathcal{E}$ denote the exceptional locus. We then have an exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_{S'} \longrightarrow \sigma^*\mathcal{T}_S \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{E}) \longrightarrow 0. \tag{6.4}$$

Proof. As before, we may assume (after completion) that p has a neighborhood in S analytically isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}^n$, and that $\sigma\colon U \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$ is the blow-up of the origin in $\mathbb{A}^n$.

We have the first fundamental exact sequence of differentials:

$$0 \longrightarrow \sigma^*\Omega^1_{\mathbb{A}^n} \longrightarrow \Omega^1_U \longrightarrow \Omega_{U/\mathbb{A}^n} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{6.5}$$

which gives, after taking $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_U}(-, \mathcal{O}_U)$:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_U \longrightarrow \sigma^*\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{A}^n} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}xt^1_{\mathcal{O}_U}(\Omega^1_{\mathcal{E}}, \mathcal{O}_U) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where we used $\Omega_{U/\mathbb{A}^n} \simeq \Omega^1_{\mathcal{E}}$ (see for example [18]).

We can compute $\mathcal{E}xt^1_{\mathcal{O}_U}(\Omega^1_{\mathcal{E}}, \mathcal{O}_U)$ using the conormal exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{E}}/\mathcal{I}^2_{\mathcal{E}} \longrightarrow \Omega^1_U|\mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \Omega^1_{\mathcal{E}} \longrightarrow 0. \tag{6.6}$$

The sheaf $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{E}}/\mathcal{I}^2_{\mathcal{E}}$ is supported on $\mathcal{E}$, hence $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_U}(\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{E}}/\mathcal{I}^2_{\mathcal{E}}, \mathcal{O}_U) = 0$. Also, we have $\mathcal{E}xt^2_{\mathcal{O}_U}(\Omega^1_{\mathcal{E}}, \mathcal{O}_U) = 0$ because (6.5) is a locally free resolution of $\Omega^1_{\mathcal{E}}$ of length 1. Therefore, using $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{E}} \simeq \mathcal{O}_U(-\mathcal{E})$, we obtain:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}xt^1_{\mathcal{O}_U}(\Omega^1_{\mathcal{E}}, \mathcal{O}_U) \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}xt^1_{\mathcal{O}_U}(\Omega^1_U|_{\mathcal{E}}, \mathcal{O}_U) \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}xt^1_{\mathcal{O}_U}(\mathcal{O}_U(-\mathcal{E})|_{\mathcal{E}}, \mathcal{O}_U) \longrightarrow 0. \tag{6.7}$$

From the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_U(-\mathcal{E}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_U \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}} \longrightarrow 0$$

we conclude that $\mathcal{E}xt^1_{\mathcal{O}_U}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}, \mathcal{O}_U) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{E})$, and hence, from (6.7), we have

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}xt^1_{\mathcal{O}_U}(\Omega^1_{\mathcal{E}}, \mathcal{O}_U) \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_U \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_U} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{E}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}(2\mathcal{E}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Tensoring the dual of (6.6) by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{E})$, we obtain

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{E}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_U \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_U} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{E}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}(2\mathcal{E}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Comparing the last two exact sequences, we obtain the desired result. □

Lemma 6.3 gives us a tool to compute $H^1(\tilde{D}, \mathcal{T}_{\tilde{D}})$ step-by-step, blowing up one point at a time:

Let $S = V(\Sigma)$ be a surface obtained by blowing up a set Σ of (possibly infinitely near) points in almost general position on $\mathbb{P}^2$. Let p be a point on S such that the points of the set $\Sigma \cup \{p\}$ are again in almost general position, and denote $S' = V(\Sigma \cup \{p\})$. Then we can use the long exact sequence associated to (6.3) to obtain information about $H^1(S', \mathcal{T}_{S'})$:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \longrightarrow & H^0(S', \mathcal{T}_{S'}) \longrightarrow H^0(S', \sigma^*\mathcal{T}_S) \longrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}(1)) \longrightarrow \\ \longrightarrow & H^1(S', \mathcal{T}_{S'}) \longrightarrow H^1(S', \sigma^*\mathcal{T}_S) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}(1)) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 6.2, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(S', \mathcal{T}_{S'}) &= \ker(H^0(S, \mathcal{T}_S) \longrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}(1))) \text{ and} \\ h^1(S', \mathcal{T}_{S'}) &= \dim(\operatorname{coker}(H^0(S, \mathcal{T}_S) \longrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}(1)))) + h^1(S, \mathcal{T}_S) \\ &= h^0(S', \mathcal{T}_{S'}) - h^0(S, \mathcal{T}_S) + 2 + h^1(S, \mathcal{T}_S). \end{aligned} \tag{6.8}$$

Note that if S is obtained by successive blow-ups of (possibly infinitely near) points on $\mathbb{P}^2$, then we can regard $H^0(S, \mathcal{T}_S)$ as a subspace of $H^0(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^2})$.

The following theorem describes the relation between the first cohomology group of the tangent bundle of $V(\Sigma)$ and that of $V(\Sigma \cup \{p\})$.

Theorem 6.5. *Let Σ be a set of (possibly infinitely near) points of $\mathbb{P}^2$ in almost general position. Suppose $|\Sigma| \leq 3$. Let $\sigma\colon S = V(\Sigma) \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ be the blow-up of center Σ. Let $p \in S$ be a point such that $\Sigma' = \Sigma \cup \{p\}$ is in almost general position and let $\sigma'\colon S' = V(\Sigma') \longrightarrow S$ be the blow-up of p on S. Denote by $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ the union of all curves with negative self-intersection on S. Then we have the following:*

1. **Type 1:** *If $p \notin \tilde{\mathcal{E}}$, then we have $h^1(S', \mathcal{T}_{S'}) = h^1(S, \mathcal{T}_S)$.*
2. **Type 2:** *If p is contained in a single (-1)-curve, then we have $h^1(S', \mathcal{T}_{S'}) = h^1(S, \mathcal{T}_S) + 1$.*
3. **Type 3:** *If p is the intersection point of two (-1)-curves, then $h^1(S', \mathcal{T}_{S'}) = h^1(S, \mathcal{T}_S) + 2$.*

Corollary 6.6. *If D is a normal rational del Pezzo surface of degree $d \geq 5$, with r singularities, of type A_{λ_1}, A_{λ_2}, ..., A_{λ_r} respectively, and $\pi\colon \tilde{D} \longrightarrow D$ is its minimal resolution, then we have $\dim H^1(\tilde{D}, \mathcal{T}_{\tilde{D}}) = \Sigma_{i=1}^r \lambda_i$.*

Proof. Note that $h^1(S', \mathcal{T}_{S'}) - h^1(S, \mathcal{T}_S)$ counts the number of (-2)-curves that appear on S' after the blow-up σ, thus the corollary follows. ☐

Remark 6.7. For $d \leq 4$ (i.e. when $\tilde{D}$ is obtained by blowing up 5 or more points) the Theorem is in general not true. For example, if we blow up 5 points in general position on $\mathbb{P}^2$, the blow-up $\tilde{D}$ doesn't contain (–2)-curves, but $\dim H^1(\tilde{D}, \mathcal{T}_{\tilde{D}}) = 2$.

Proof of Theorem 6.5. Based on Remark 3.4, if Σ and $\widetilde{\Sigma}$ (with $|\Sigma| = |\widetilde{\Sigma}| \leq 4$) have the same configuration (i.e. if they can be transformed into each other by a projective automorphism of $\mathbb{P}^2$), then $V(\Sigma) \simeq V(\widetilde{\Sigma})$. Now, if $p_1 \in V(\Sigma)$ and $p_2 \in V(\widetilde{\Sigma})$ are points of the same type (1,2 or 3), then $\Sigma \cup \{p_1\}$ and $\widetilde{\Sigma} \cup \{p_2\}$ will again have the same configuration, and hence $V(\Sigma \cup \{p_1\}) \simeq V(\widetilde{\Sigma} \cup \{p_2\})$. Therefore we have to prove the theorem for only one representative Σ for any configuration of points, and we can use those described in §3.2.

We prove the theorem by blowing up one point at a time and explicitly computing the cohomologies involved.

Case 0. Explicit computation of $H^0(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^2})$. We know that $\dim H^0(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^2}) = 8$ and $\dim H^1(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^2}) = 0$. Here we compute a basis for $H^0(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^2})$ in local coordinates.

Fix the homogeneous coordinates $[x_0\colon x_1\colon x_2]$ on $\mathbb{P}^2$. Then on the affine open $U_0 = \{x_0 \neq 0\}$ we have local coordinates $x := \frac{x_1}{x_0}$ and $y := \frac{x_2}{x_0}$. Around $p = [1:0:0]$, $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^2}$ is generated by the vectors $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial y}$; more precisely, $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^2}|_{U_0} = \mathbb{C}[x,y]\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \mathbb{C}[x,y]\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \simeq \mathbb{C}[x,y]^2$.

Claim. With the above notations, $H^0(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^2})$ has a basis given by $(1,0)$, $(x,0)$, $(y,0)$, $(0,1)$, $(0,x)$, $(0,y)$, (x^2, xy), (xy, y^2) on U_0.

Proof. We have the dual of the Euler sequence,

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)^3 \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^2} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)^3 \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^2}$ is (locally) given by

$$(s_0, s_1, s_2) \mapsto \frac{s_1 x_0 - s_0 x_1}{x_0^2}\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{s_2 x_0 - s_0 x_2}{x_0^2}\frac{\partial}{\partial y}.$$

Writing out the generators of $H^0(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)^3)$, the claim follows. ☐

Using this explicit description of $H^0(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^2})$, the computations are straightforward using the descriptions of the blow-ups from §3.2. We illustrate it in the case of blowing up 1 point, respectively 2 infinitely near points on $\mathbb{P}^2$, and leave the rest of the computations to be carried out by the reader.

In the following, all blow-ups will be of (possibly infinitely near) points on $U_0 = \{x_0 \neq 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ (as described in §3.2). We will always denote by $\mathcal{E}$ the exceptional locus of the last blow-up $S' \longrightarrow S$, and by $\mathcal{M}$ the map $\mathcal{T}_{S'} \longrightarrow \sigma^*\mathcal{T}_S$.

Case 1. Blowing up a point. Let $\sigma_1\colon S_1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ be the blow-up of the point $(x,y)=(0,0)$. Based on (6.8), in order to find $\dim H^1(S_1,\mathcal{T}_{S_1})$, we first need to describe the kernel of the map $H^0(\mathbb{P}^2,\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^2}) \simeq H^0(S_1,\sigma_1^*\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^2}) \longrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}(1))$. Locally, near $\mathcal{E}$, S_1 is covered by two affine opens:

$$V_0 = \operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{C}[x,s], \text{where } x=x, y=xs$$
$$V_1 = \operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{C}[y,t], \text{where } x=yt, y=y$$

The map $\mathcal{M} : \mathcal{T}_{S_1} \longrightarrow \sigma_1^*\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^2}$ is given by the following matrices:

$$\text{On } V_0 : \mathcal{M}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ s & x \end{pmatrix} \text{ with basis elements } \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \text{ for } \mathcal{T}_{S_1}|_{V_0} \text{ and } \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \text{ for } \sigma_1^*\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^2}|_{V_0}.$$
$$\text{On } V_1 : \mathcal{M}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} t & y \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ with basis elements } \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \text{ for } \mathcal{T}_{S_1}|_{V_1}.$$

We have $\ker(H^0(S_1,\sigma_1^*\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^2}) \to H^0(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}(1))) = \mathcal{M}(H^0(S_1,\mathcal{T}_{S_1})) \subset H^0(S_1,\sigma_1^*\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^2}) \simeq H^0(\mathbb{P}^2,\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^2})$.

To find this kernel, it is sufficient to find the elements of $H^0(\mathbb{P}^2,\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^2})$ that are in the image of $\mathcal{M}_0$. Indeed, if we have a global section $s \in H^0(\mathbb{P}^2,\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^2}) \simeq H^0(S_1,\sigma_1^*\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^2})$ in the kernel of $H^0(V_0,\sigma_1^*\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^2}) \longrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{E}\cap V_0,\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}(1))$, then s is also in the kernel of the map $H^0(S_1,\sigma_1^*\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^2}) \longrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}(1))$, because $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}(1)$ is a locally free sheaf on $\mathcal{E}$, and hence if a global section vanishes on an open subset, then it vanishes on $\mathcal{E}$.

Locally on V_0 we have $\mathbb{C}[x,s]^2 \xrightarrow{\mathcal{M}_0} \mathbb{C}[x,s]^2$, and any element of $H^0(S_1,\sigma_1^*\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^2}) \simeq H^0(\mathbb{P}^2,\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^2})$ is of form $\begin{pmatrix} a_1+a_2x+a_3y+a_7x^2+a_8xy \\ a_4+a_5x+a_6y+a_7xy+a_8y^2 \end{pmatrix}$ where $y=xs$, and $a_i \in \mathbb{C}$.

We need the following lemma from algebra:

Lemma 6.8. *Let A be an integral domain and $M\colon A^2 \longrightarrow A^2$ an A-linear map, given by the matrix $M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$. Then an element $\begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix} \in A^2$ is in the image of M if and only if $\delta = det(M)$ divides both $ag-cf$ and $df-bg$.*

Proof. The proof is obvious, using Cramer's rule in the quotient ring of A. □

Suppose an element $\begin{pmatrix} a_1+a_2x+a_3y+a_7x^2+a_8xy \\ a_4+a_5x+a_6y+a_7xy+a_8y^2 \end{pmatrix}$ of $H^0(\mathbb{P}^2,\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^2})|_{V_0}$ is in the image of $\mathcal{M}_0$. Then, by Lemma 6.8, $(a_4+a_5x+a_6y+a_7xy+a_8y^2) - s(a_1+a_2x+a_3y+a_7x^2+a_8xy)$ is divisible by x, and this is equivalent, using the condition $y=xs$, to $a_4 - sa_1 = 0$. This implies $a_4=a_1=0$. Hence

$$H^0(S_1,\mathcal{T}_{S_1}) \simeq \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a_1+a_2x+a_3y+a_7x^2+a_8xy \\ a_4+a_5x+a_6y+a_7xy+a_8y^2 \end{pmatrix} \in H^0(\mathbb{P}^2,\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^2})\colon a_4=a_1=0 \right\}$$

has dimension 6, and therefore, by (6.8), $h^1(S_1,\mathcal{T}_{S_1}) = h^1(\mathbb{P}^2,\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^2}) = 0$.

Case 2. Blowing up two infinitely near points of $\mathbb{P}^2$. Let $\sigma_7\colon S_7 \longrightarrow S_1$ be the blow-up of the point $(x,s)=(0,0) \in S_1$ (corresponding to the direction given by the line $\{y=0\}$ in $\mathbb{P}^2$). Then near the exceptional locus $\mathcal{E}$ of σ_7, S_7 is covered by the following affine opens:

$$V_0 = \operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{C}[x,u], \text{where } x=x, y=xs=x^2u$$
$$V_1 = \operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{C}[s,v], \text{where } x=sv, y=xs=s^2v$$
$$V_2 = \operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{C}[y,t], \text{where } x=yt, y=y.$$

The exceptional locus $\mathcal{E}$ is covered by V_0 and V_1. We compute on V_0. The corresponding matrix is

$$\mathcal{M}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2xu & x^2 \end{pmatrix} : \mathbb{C}[x,u]^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}[x,u]^2.$$

Similar calculations as in Case 1 lead to

$$H^0(S_7,\mathcal{T}_{S_7}) \simeq \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a_2x+a_3y+a_7x^2+a_8xy \\ a_5x+a_6y+a_7xy+a_8y^2 \end{pmatrix} \in H^0(S_1,\mathcal{T}_{S_1})\colon a_5=0 \right\}.$$

Therefore $\dim H^0(S_7,\mathcal{T}_{S_7}) = 5$ and so $h^1(S_7,\mathcal{T}_{S_7}) = h^1(S_1,\mathcal{T}_{S_1}) + 1 = 1$.

For the rest of the cases, similar computations -using the description of configurations of at most 4 almost general points from §3.2- yield to the proof of the theorem. □

7. EXAMPLE OF A K-TRIVIAL CONTRACTION

Let $\varphi\colon X \longrightarrow Y$ be a K-trivial birational extremal contraction of a smooth projective 3-fold X, contracting a divisor $D \subset X$ to a point $q \in Y$. Suppose D is a normal rational del Pezzo surface of degree $d \geq 5$. The result of analytic rigidity shows that in order to know the analytic structure of the contraction φ, it is sufficient to have one example for each possible exceptional divisor with the prescribed normal bundle.

In the following, we construct an example of embedding a normal rational (singular) del Pezzo surface D of degree 7 into a smooth threefold X with the prescribed normal bundle $\mathcal{O}_D(K_D)$, and hence, by Fujiki's contraction theorem (see Theorem 7.1 below), obtain an analytic contraction of D (i.e. a holomorphic map $\varphi\colon X \longrightarrow Y$ onto a normal complex space Y that contracts D to a point $q \in Y$). Similar constructions can be carried out for each possible exceptional divisor D (any normal rational del Pezzo surface of degree $d \geq 5$).

Let D be a normal rational del Pezzo surface of degree $d = 7$. By the results in §3.2, the minimal resolution $\tilde{D}$ of D is isomorphic to $V(\{p_1, p_2\})$, where $p_2 \longrightarrow p_1$, $p_1 = [1:0:0] \in \mathbb{P}^2$ and $p_2 = [1:0] \in \mathbb{P}(T_{p_1}\mathbb{P}^2)$.

First we construct a family $\mathcal{X} \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ such that $\mathcal{X}$ is nonsingular, the central fiber $\mathcal{X}_0$ is isomorphic to D, and the general fibers of the family are nonsingular del Pezzo surfaces of degree $d = 7 = \deg D$. We will construct the family $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathbb{P}^7 \times \mathbb{A}^1$ as the closure of a family of blow-ups of 2 distinct points on $\mathbb{P}^2$.

For this, consider the curve $\mathcal{C} = \{x_1^2 - t(x_1 + x_0) = 0, x_2 = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{A}^1$. Over any $t \neq 0$, $\mathcal{C}$ has two points, while over $t = 0$ it has a double point. The curve $\mathcal{C}$ defines a map $\Phi = \{\varphi_t\}_t : \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{A}^1 \cdots \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^7 \times \mathbb{A}^1$ with base-locus $\mathcal{C}$, where $\varphi_t : \mathbb{P}^2 \cdots \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^7$ is given by

$$[x_0 : x_1 : x_2] \longmapsto [x_1^3 - tx_0^2x_1 - t^2x_0^3 - t^2x_0^2x_1 : x_2^3 : x_0^2x_2 : x_0x_1^2 - tx_0^3 - tx_0^2x_1 : x_0x_2^2 : x_1^2x_2 : x_1x_2^2 : x_0x_1x_2].$$

Let $\mathcal{X}$ be the blowing up of $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{A}^1$ with center $\mathcal{C}$. Then $\varphi_{|-K_{\mathcal{X}/\mathbb{A}^1}|}$ will define an embedding of $\mathcal{X}$ into $\mathbb{P}^7 \times \mathbb{A}^1$. The ideal $\mathcal{I}$ defining $\mathcal{X}$ in this embedding is

$$\begin{gathered}\mathcal{I} = (ge - bh, -hf + gd + th^2 + tch, -he + gc, f^2 - ga - t^2h^2 - th^2 - t^2ch, ef - hg,\\ fd - ah + thd, fc - h^2, -g^2 + bf, de - h^2 + tc^2 + tch, ea - hf + t^2ch + t^2c^2 + tch,\\ bd - hg + teh + tce, -e^2 + cb, ca - dh - tcd, ba - fg + t^2eh + t^2ce + tcg).\end{gathered}$$

For each t, $\mathcal{I}$ defines a surface D_t in $\mathbb{P}^7$. It can be verified by direct computation that the total space $\mathcal{X}$ of the family $\{D_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{A}^1}$ is nonsingular and that D_t is nonsingular (actually a smooth del Pezzo surface of degree 7) except in the case of $D_0 = D$ and D_{-4}, which are singular del Pezzo surfaces. In fact, for $t \neq 0, -4$, the surface D_t is the image (via the anticanonical embedding) in $\mathbb{P}^7$ of the blow up of the points $[1 : \lambda_1 : 0]$ and $[1 : \lambda_2 : 0]$ on $\mathbb{P}^2$, where λ_1 and λ_2 are the roots of the equation $u^2 = t(u + 1)$.

Since D is a fiber of the family $\mathcal{X}$, its normal bundle $\mathcal{N}_{D/\mathcal{X}} \simeq \mathcal{O}_D(D)$ in $\mathcal{X}$ is numerically trivial.

In order to make the normal bundle isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_D(K_D)$, as required for a K-trivial contraction, we proceed as follows:

Let $C \in |-K_D|$ be a general member avoiding the singular point of D (it exists, because $|-K_D|$ is very ample). Let X be the blow-up of C on $\mathcal{X}$, E the exceptional locus, D' the strict transform of D, and $C' := E|'_D \simeq C$. Because $K_{\mathcal{X}}|_D$ and K_D are numerically equivalent, it follows that K_X is numerically trivial on D'. Therefore $\mathcal{N}_{D'/X}$ is numerically equivalent to $\mathcal{O}_{D'}(K_{D'})$, and so, as in §2, $\mathcal{N}_{D'/X} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{D'}(K_{D'})$. Therefore we succeeded in embedding the del Pezzo surface $D \simeq D'$ into a nonsingular three-fold X such that its normal bundle is isomorphic to the canonical sheaf of D. We can now apply the following theorem ([6, Th.2.]):

Theorem 7.1 (Fujiki's Contraction Theorem)**.** *Let X be a complex space, $A \subset X$ an effective Cartier divisor, B another complex space, and $f : A \longrightarrow B$ a surjective holomorphic map. Assume that: 1. The conormal bundle $\mathcal{N}^*_{A/X}$ is f-positive, and that 2. $R^1f_*({\mathcal{N}^*_{A/X}}^{\nu}) = 0$, for all $\nu > 0$. Then there exists a modification $\psi : X \longrightarrow Y$ with $\psi|_A = f$. Moreover, $\psi_*(\mathcal{L}) \simeq \mathcal{O}_Y$, where the coherent sheaf $\mathcal{L}$ is defined by*

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{L} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_A/\operatorname{im}(f^*\mathcal{O}_B \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_A) \longrightarrow 0.$$

In our case $A := D' \simeq D$, B is a point (hence $\mathcal{L} \simeq \mathcal{O}_X$) and $R^1f_*({\mathcal{N}^*_{A/X}}^{\nu}) \simeq H^1(D, \mathcal{O}_D(\nu K_D)) = 0$ for all ν (see Proposition 3.2).

Therefore we have an analytic modification $\psi : X \longrightarrow Y$ contracting D to a point $q \in Y$, such that $\psi|_{X-D} : X - D \simeq Y - q$, and $\psi_*\mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_Y$.

Remark 7.2. A similar result is true in the category of algebraic spaces, based on a contraction theorem due to Artin ([2, Cor. (6.10)]).

However, Fujiki's theorem doesn't guarantee a contraction in the algebraic category (i.e. the existence of a morphism $\varphi\colon X \longrightarrow Y$ of algebraic varieties contracting D to a point). At the present we do not know how to construct in general algebraic morphisms that contract a singular del Pezzo surface embedded into a smooth 3-fold with the prescribed normal bundle to a point, i.e. how to obtain a K-trivial morphism $\varphi\colon X \longrightarrow Y$ onto a normal projective variety Y that contracts D to a point $q \in Y$. In the case when D is nonsingular, the contraction of the zero-section of the total space of the normal bundle $\mathcal{N}_{D/X}$ provides such an example. Although this gives a K-trivial contraction, it is not extremal. Namikawa constructs an example of a K-trivial *extremal* contraction ([24, Example 1]) with exceptional divisor D a nonsingular del Pezzo surface of degree 6 (i.e. a smooth cubic).

Acknowledgement. *The author would like to thank K. Matsuki for his advice and encouragement, and also M. Gross and J. Kollár for helpful comments.*

References

[1] M. Artin, *The implicit function theorem in algebraic geometry*, in Algebraic Geometry (Internat. Colloq., Tata Inst. Fund. Res.), Oxford Univ. Press (1969) 13–34.

[2] M. Artin, *Algebraization of formal moduli. I*, in Global Analysis (Papers in Honor of K. Kodaira), Univ. Tokyo Press (1969) 21–71.

[3] D.M. Burns Jr., J.M. Wahl, *Local contributions to global deformations of surfaces*, Invent. Math. **26** (1974), 67–88.

[4] M. Demazure, *Surfaces de Del Pezzo*, Lecture Notes in Math., 777, Springer, Berlin, (1980), 21–69.

[5] D. Eisenbud, *Commutative algebra. With a view toward algebraic geometry.*, Springer-Verlag, (1995).

[6] A. Fujiki, *On the blowing down of analytic spaces*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **10** (1974/75), 473–507.

[7] R. Godement, *Topologie algébrique et théorie des faisceaux*, Hermann (1958).

[8] H. Grauert, *Über Modifikationen und exzeptionelle analytische Mengen*, Math. Ann. **146** (1962), 331–368.

[9] D. R. Grayson, M. E. Stillman, Macaulay 2, a software system for research in algebraic geometry, Available at http://www.math.uiuc.edu/Macaulay2

[10] M. Gross, *Deforming Calabi-Yau threefolds*, Math. Ann. **308** (1997), 187–220.

[11] A. Grothendieck, *Éléments de géométrie algébrique. IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas IV*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math., **8, 11** (1960, 1961).

[12] A. Grothendieck, *Éléments de géométrie algébrique. IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas IV*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math., **20** (1964).

[13] A. Grothendieck, *Éléments de géométrie algébrique. IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas IV*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math., **32** (1967).

[14] A. Grothendieck, *Fondements de la géométrie algébrique.*, Secrétariat mathématique (1967).

[15] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic Geometry*, Springer-Verlag, (1977).

[16] H. Hidaka, H. Watanabe, *Normal Gorenstein surfaces with ample anti-canonical divisor*, Tokyo J. Math. **4** (1981), 319–330.

[17] H. Hironaka, H Rossi, *On the equivalence of imbeddings of exceptional complex spaces*, Math. Ann. **156** (1964), 313–333.

[18] S. L. Kleiman, *Multiple-point formulas. I. Iteration*, Acta Math. **147** (1981), 13–49.

[19] J. Kollár, *Flips, flops, minimal models, etc*, Surveys in differential geometry (1991), 113–199.

[20] H. Laufer, *Taut two-dimensional singularities*, Math. Ann. **205** (1973), 131–164.

[21] S. Lichtenbaum, M. Schlessinger, *The cotangent complex of a morphism*, Amer. J. Math. **128** (1967), 41–70.

[22] K. Matsuki, *An Introduction to Mori's Program*, Springer-Verlag, (2001).

[23] S. Mori, *Threefolds whose canonical bundles are not numerically effective*, Ann. of Math. (2) **116** (1982), 133–176.

[24] Y. Namikawa, *Deformation theory of Calabi-Yau threefolds and certain invariants of singularities*, J. Algebraic Geom. **6** (1997), 753–776.

[25] O. Păsărescu, *Classification of singularities of normal Gorenstein surfaces with ample anticanonical divisor*, Stud. Cerc. Mat **36** (1984), 227–243.

[26] M. Reid, *Canonical 3-folds*, in Journées de Géometrie Algébrique d'Angers, Sijthoff & Noordhoff (1994), 273–310.

[27] M. Reid, *Minimal models of canonical* 3*-folds*, in Algebraic varieties and analytic varieties, North-Holland (1983), 131–180.

[28] M. Reid, *Nonnormal del Pezzo surfaces*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci., **30** (1994), 695–727.

[29] G. Tjurina, *On the tautness of rationally contractible curves on a surface*, Math. USSR Izvestija **2** (1968), 907–934.

[30] P. M. H. Wilson, *Symplectic deformations of Calabi-Yau threefolds*, J. Differential Geom. **45** (1997), 611–637.

Department of Mathematics, University of Georgia, Athens, GA 30602-7403, USA

E-mail address: `ctamas@math.uga.edu`